### FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

### (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Procuring Agency: 1.

Ministry of Human Rights

Method of Procurement: 2.

**QCBS** 

3. Title of Procurement: Invitation for Expression of Interest for Research Study

on Enforcement Mechanism for Protection of Human

Rights in Pakistan: An Analysis of Impeding Factors

and Way Forward

Tender Inquiry No.: 4.

F-240584155

Date & Time of Technical Proposal Closing: 5.

10:30 AM 07-06-2024

Date & Time of Technical Proposal Opening: 11:00 AM 07-06-2024 6.

Date & Time of Financial Bid Opening: 02:00 PM 10-07-2024 7.

No of Technical Proposals Received: 8.

03

9. No. of Financial Proposals Opened: 02

Criteria for Bid Evaluation: 10.

As per Evaluation Criteria provided under Request for

Proposal the criteria/pre-qualification

(Evaluations Attached)

Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: 11.

The rejection and acceptance of bidding applicants is

set out below:

Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (if applicable)	Financial Marks (if Applicable)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
Perspective Policy Research Institute	59	30	Qualified for both Technical and Financial
IFSD (Pvt) Ltd	50	0	The organization has submitted the financial quote weigh above the budget and has not been qualified
Zekab (Pvt) Ltd.	0	NA	Did not qualify Technically. And was not considered for Financial Bid.

Most Advantageous Bidder: Perspective Policy Research Institute

Signature:

Official Stamp:

Legislative Research Officer (NMRF) Ministry of Human Rights Islamabad

### GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN

### MINISTRY OF HUMAN RIGHTS....

NATIONAL MECHANISM FOR REPORTING AND FOLLOW-UP

Date: June 21, 2024

## Technical Evaluation for Procurement of Consultancy Services to Conduct Research Study

- A meeting of the Technical Committee was held on June 07, 2024 at MoHR at 11:00 a.m. to open the technical bids submitted by the consultancy firms in response to the Request for Proposals. The following 3 organizations participated in the bidding process:
  - a. M/s. IFSD (Private) Ltd.
  - b. M/s. Perspective Policy Research Institute (PPRI)
  - e. M/s. Zekab (Private) Ltd.
- 2. The Technical Committee has evaluated the bid/technical proposals submitted by the consultancy firms as per criteria for mandatory qualification of bidders laid down at para 1 (pp-2) in the RFP document. Additionally, the consultancy firms have also been evaluated in accordance with technical evaluation criteria, as mentioned at para 5.13 (pp-9) and RFP Form 04 (pp-26) of RFP.
- Each bid is assessed based on specific criteria, and marks are awarded to determine which bid is superior. The obtaining marks of each bidding consultancy firm is as under:

	Consultancy Firm	Marks Obtained
l .	M/s. IFSD (Private) Ltd.	50
2.	M/s. Perspective Policy Research Institute (PPRI)	59
	M/s. Zekab (Private) Ltd.	0

4. The details of the marks obtained is as below:

MS. MEHREEN NAUSHAD

Legislative Research Officer (NMRF)

Ministry of Human Rights

Islamabad

Technical Evaluation of Research Proposals

Evalus	Evaluation Criteria	Points Obtainable		Marks Obtained	
L. Exp	I. Expertise		PPRI	IFSD	Zekab
	Publications by the lead researcher in a Y category journal				
	1 5 publications:				
	5 15 publications:				
=	16 20 publications: 25 Marks	35	3.5	35	0
	20 and more publications:				
	Note: The book chapters will also be considered as research publications, however, the news articles will not be considered as research publications				
2. Pro	2. Proposed Work Plan and Approach:			•	
	Proposed Methodology:	y nes es		n = 6	
1.	Quality of Research Design: 10 Marks Relevancy of Theoretical Framework: 10 Marks		$\frac{21}{G \cdot 7 + 7}$	. 01	0 :
	Literature Review:				
2.2.	Conformity of Work Plan, timeline and deliverables in relation to the chosen Research Topic.	0.5	03	0.5	0
	Total	7.0	59	50	0

MS. MEHREEN NAUSHAD
Legislative Research Officer (WMRF)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

- 5. The M/s. IFSD has obtained 50 marks. The IFSD's proposal demonstrates a mixed-methods approach, integrating qualitative and quantitative methods to explore human rights enforcement mechanisms in Pakistan comprehensively. The design effectively addresses various factors influencing human rights, enhancing the validity of findings. Moreover, the work plan is detailed and structured over a 20-week timeline. The deliverables are clearly defined and aligned with project objectives, ensuring efficient project completion. However, IFSD's proposal does not contain a theoretical framework, nor does it provide a literature review.
- 6. The M/s. PPRI has obtained 59 marks. PPRI in its proposal outlines a qualitative research approach to delve deeply into the enforcement mechanisms of human rights in Pakistan. It adopts a System Theory framework, aiming to analyze the complex interactions among stakeholders involved in enforcement. This approach is suitable for understanding the multifaceted nature of human rights enforcement in a diverse socio-political context like Pakistan. The literature review identifies existing gaps in research drawing from diverse sources, including international frameworks and regional contexts, providing a comprehensive background for the study. Lastly, the proposal presents a detailed workplan with specific timelines covering a period of 90 days.
- Both M/s. IFSD and PPRI have appropriate and relevant experience in conducting researches on human rights, as evidenced by the publications of both lead researchers and research associates which in their totality exceeds 20 publications.
- The M/s Zekab has not obtained any points in the technical evaluation as they did not submit a research proposal.

9. Consequently, both M/s. IFSD and PPRI having obtained passing marks meet the technical evaluation criteria, and will continue to the next stage of submitting their financial bids. M/s. Zekab, on the other hand, has not met the technical evaluation criteria, and therefore does not qualify to proceed to the next phase of financial bid evaluation.

Dr. Muhammad Arif

Director (IC),

Member

Mehreen Naushad

Legal Research Officer,

Member

Shahzad Ahmad Khan,

Director (HR).

Member

Muhammad Arshad,

Director General (IC),

Chairman

MS. MEHREEN NAUSHAD Legislative Research Officer (NMRF) Ministry of Human Rights Islamabad

#### **GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN**

### MINISTRY OF HIUMAN RIGIITS

9th Floor, Kohsar Block. New Pak Secretariat. Islamabad,

\*\*\*\*

# FINANCIAL EVALUATION FOR PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES TO CONDUCT RESEARCH STUDY "ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM FOR PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN PAKISTAN: AN ANALYSIS OF IMPEDING FACTORS AND WAY FORWARD"

A meeting of the Financial Committee was held on July 10th, 2024 in Conference Room of Ministry of Human Rights at 11:00 am to open the financial bids submitted by the Consultancy Firms. The following two firms were shortlisted by the Technical Committee for opening of the respective financial bids.

- a. M/s IFSD (Private) L.td
- b. M/s Perspective Policy Research Institute (PPRI)
- 2. The above mentioned two firms submitted the financial bids for consultancy services to conduct research study regarding "Enforcement Mechanism for Protection of Human Rights In Pakistan". The detail of rates offered by the firms is as under:

Sr.No	Firm	Amount	
1.	M/s IFSD(Private)Ltd.	PKR/-35,000,000	
2.	M/s Perspective Policy Research Institute(PPRI)	PKR/-3,300,000	

- 3. The Committee observed that M/s PPRI has offered the lowest rate and is the Most Advantageous Bidder, and that firm got 30 marks.
- 4. The Committee recommended that the task of consultancy service to conduct research study regarding Enforcement Mechanism for Protection of Human Rights in Pakistan, may be assigned to M/s PPRI being the lowest and most advantageous bidder.

Arfan Shabbir DD(HIR-I)

(Member)

M. Zeeshan Munir

DD( NMRF) (Member) Abdur Rahman SO(F&A)

(Member)

Muhammad Javed
DS Admin
(Chairman)

MS. MEHREEN NAUSHAD
Legislative Research Officer (NMRF)
Ministry of Human Rights

Islamabad