

# **NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY**

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(576)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/\$28

Islamabad S. September, 2022

# **Director General**

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2,

### **Islamabad**

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(PPRA RULE-35):

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM MORE KHUNDA TO HABO BY BALA DISTRICT NANKANA SAHIB

(56 KM APPROX.)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(Sami-Ur-Rahman) General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

## Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Services)-P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.

# TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of road from More Khunda to Habo by Bala District Nankana Sahib (56 km approx.)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(576)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS485581E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	11 <sup>th</sup> August, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	11 <sup>th</sup> August, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Technical Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

	Technical Evaluation			
Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
1- M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s A.A Associates & in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech-PET (Sub-Consultant).	798	Technically Qualified		
2- M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Comrades Planning and Design Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.(Sub-Consultant).	796	Technically Qualified		
3- M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Technical associates (Sub-Consultant)	794	Technically Qualified		
4- M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE - Ltd in JV with M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. & in association with M/s APEX Consulting Engineers (Sub-Consultant).	788	Technically Qualified		

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of road from More Khunda to Habo by Bala District Nankana Sahib (56 km approx.)



# **TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT**

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004

	Technical Evaluation			
Name of Bidder	Technical Score	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.		
5- M/s Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	547	Dis-Qualified		

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Burgara Barbara Barbar

na ali iliyah da **g**iji iliyah mi

The strategies and strategies

The second second

Signature:...

General Manager (P&CA) National Highway Authority Islamabad

Official Stamp:....

\*Standard Bidding Document



# **National Highway Authority**



# Annex-I Criteria FOR Technical Bid Evaluation

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM MORE KHUNDA TO HABO BY BALA DISTRICT NANKANA SAHIB (56 KM APPROX.)

September, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (OCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max.	Fir	n 1	Fir	m 2
<u> </u>		Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Scor
1. Firms Experience		100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector		25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment		75				
2. Approach and Methodology		250			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2-a. Appreciation of the Project		70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs		(30)		·		
(ii) Clarity of appreciation		(20)				<u> </u>
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation		(20)				<del> </del>
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives		50				<b></b>
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives		(30)				<del></del> -
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	····	(20)				
2-c. Methodology		80				<del></del>
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	····	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology		(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal		(30)		+		
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR		10				
2-e. Work Program		20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule		20				
Key Personnel		450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel		25		<del></del>		
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer		80				
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer		70				
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer		40	<del></del>			—
iv. Hydrologist/Hydraulics Engineer		60				
v. Junior Highway Engineer		60			<del></del>	
vi. Junior Structural Engineer		60				
vii. Traffic/Transport Economist		40				
viii. Quantity Surveyor		15		<del></del>		
Performance Certification from clients		75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting		25				
Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)		50			Na Wahwa	-#
Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)						
(interiorational), I traited	TO TO A	50				利
	TOTAL:	1000		$$ $\parallel$ $\blacksquare$	PECA	الغل

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Construction of Road from More Khunda to Habo by Bala District Nankana Sahib (56 km Approx.)

# PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer			, , , , ,		( )		(5)		
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer									
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	-	<del></del>							
iv. Hydrologist/Hydraulics Engineer									
v. Junior Highway Engineer	•								
vi. Junior Structural Engineer									
vii. Traffic/Transport Economist									
viii.Quantity Surveyor					-				

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.



