DIAMER BASHA DEVELOPMENT COMPANY (PVT) LTD.

Tel: 042-99201572
Fax:
Email: cedbdo@gmail.com

Liaison Office
Diamer Basha Development
Company (Pvt) Limited
173, WAPDA House,
Lahore.

No. DBDC/W-10.25/3859-62 Dated 25/ 08/ 2023

Director General
Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank of Pakistan
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad
Phone: 051-9205728-26

Subject: **PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES AND ALLIED WORKS**

ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35)

Ref: PPRA Rule - 35

Subsequent upon approval from Competent Authority, kindly find attached duly filled and signed Technical Evaluation Report along with Bid Evaluation Criteria (**Annex-I**) pertaining to the procurement of subject contract in view of above referred PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

It is also confirmed that funds are available for the publication of this Evaluation Report.

DA/as above

25/08/202

for **General Manager/PD**
DBDC

C.C
1. Member (Water), WAPDA, Lahore
2. GM(CCC), Water, Wapda House, Lahore
3. Addl. DG (PR), please ensure the publication on PPRA website at the earliest please.

Technical Evaluation Report
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	Name of Procuring Agency	Diamer Basha Dam Development Company (Pvt.) Ltd. (DBDC)
2	Method of Procurement	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3	Title of Procurement	Consultancy Services for Implementation of Confidence Building Measures and other Allied Works
4	Tender Inquiry No	PRD(L)/WAPDA/234(2022-23)
5	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE)	TS503887E
6	Date & Time of Bid Closing	March 30, 2023 at 1100 hours
7	Date & Time of Bid Opening	March 30, 2023 at 1130 hours
8	No of Bids Received	Five (05) Proposal
9	Criteria for Bid Evaluation	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached as Annex-I
10	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation	As below

Name of Bidders	Marks	Rule/Regulation/SBD**/ Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	
i. Technical Engineering and Management (TEAM) Pvt. Ltd. – Lahore (**Lead**) ii. Electra Consultants – Peshawar (**JV Partner**) iii. Integrated Consulting Services (Pvt.) Ltd. – Lahore (**JV Partner**) In association with • Sustainable Engineering Consultants – Lahore (**Associate**)	**88.91**	Qualified as the JV scored more than the minimum technical score (St) required to pass
i. Rehman Habib Consultants (Pvt) Ltd. (RHC). Lahore – (**Lead**) ii. EASE Pak Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd., Lahore - (**JV Partner**) iii. SPECTRA Engineering Solutions (Pvt.) Ltd., Peshawar - (**JV Partner**) iv. EMC Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd., Karachi - (JV Partner) In association with • Farraj Development Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. – Lahore (**Associate**)	**87.71**	Qualified as the JV scored more than the minimum technical score (St) required to pass

Name of Bidders	Marks Technical (if applicable)	Rule/Regulation/SBD**/ Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
• Cascade Group of Engineering Consultants (CGEC) – Peshawar (**Associate**) • Kasib Associates Engineers Consultants (KA), Islamabad - (**Associate**)		
i. INTEGRATION Environment & Energy GmbH – Germany (**Lead**) ii. FINITE Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. – Islamabad (**JV Partner**) iii. Associate in development (AID) – Islamabad (**JV Partner**)	**87.68**	Qualified as the JV scored more than the minimum technical score (St) required to pass
i. BAK Consulting Engineers – Peshawar (**Lead**) ii. Engineering Consultancy Services Punjab (Pvt.) Ltd. (ECSP) – Lahore (**JV Partner**) iii. A. A Associates – Karachi (**JV Partner**)	**86.79**	Qualified as the JV scored more than the minimum technical score (St) required to pass
i. EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd. (EA), Karachi – (**Lead**) ii. Techno Legal Consultants (Pvt) Ltd., Lahore - (**JV Partner**) iii. Mountain Infrastructure & Engineering Services (MIES), Gilgit (**JV Partner**)	64.37	Failed to qualify as the JV did not score the minimum technical score (St) required to pass.

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:
None

25/08/2023

f/ **General Manager/PD**
Diamer Basha Dam Development Company (Pvt.) Ltd.

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>The opening shall take place at:
"same as the Proposal submission address":
Date: same as the submission deadline indicated in 17.7.
Time: 1130 Hrs</td></tr>
<tr><td>19.6(iv)</td><td>In addition, the following information will be read aloud at the opening of the technical proposal.
• Proposal securing declaration as per attached form is available/Not available.</td></tr>
<tr><td>21.1
(for FTP)</td><td>Sample Criteria, sub-criteria, and point system for the evaluation of the Full Technical Proposals (if not already prequalified):
<u>Points</u>
<table>
<tr><th>Sr. No</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>(i)</td><td>General Experience of the Consultant (i.e. Length of Incorporation as Company/firm or Registration/Licensing, General Assignments etc.)
• Length of incorporation as company/firm for last 10 years
• Five General Assignments during last 10 years</td><td>10
(5)
(5)</td></tr>
<tr><td>(ii)</td><td>Specific experience of the Consultant (as a firm) relevant to the Assignment.
Specific Experience of the Firms/ JVs shall be evaluated from the projects in the following fields:
<table>
<tr><td>a. Small Hydropower Projects</td><td>10</td></tr>
<tr><td>b. Environment and Social Management</td><td>10</td></tr>
<tr><td>c. Infrastructure (Building, Bridge, water supply & Sewerage)</td><td>10</td></tr>
</table>
The marks will be allocated according to the scope of the assignment as follows:</td><td>30</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
</table>

		1st project – 60% 2nd Project – 40%		
	(iii)	**Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, work plan, management approach etc. in responding to the Terms of Reference (TORs):**		20
		The Client will assess whether the proposed methodology is clear, responds to the TORs, work plan is realistic and implementable; overall team composition is balanced and has an appropriate skills mix; and the work plan has right input of Experts.		
		a. Quality of Technical Approach & Methodology	7	
		b. Coverage of TOR	7	
		c. Work Plan	7	
		d. Man-months Deployment	7	
		e. Proposal Presentation	2	
	(iv)	**Key Experts' qualifications and competence for the Assignment:** *{Notes to Consultant: each position number corresponds to the same for the Key Experts in Form TECH-6 to be prepared by the Consultant}*		40
		a) K-1: Project Manager/ Team Leader	4	
		b) K-2: Principal Geotechnical Engineer	3	
		c) K-3: Principal Engineer Contracts	3	
		d) K-4: Principal Engineer Electrical	2	
		e) K-5: Principal Environmentalist	2	
		f) K-6: Principal Engineer Hydrology	2	
		g) K-7: Principal Geologist	2	

	h) K-8: Principal Engineer Structures	2	
	i) K-9: Principal Engineer Design (Building)	2	
	j) K-10: Principal Engineer Design (Roads & its Structures)	3	
	k) K-11: Principal Engineer (Water supply & Sewerage)	2	
	l) K-12: Architect	2	
	m) K-13: RE (Building)	2	
	n) K-14: RE (Roads & Road Structures)	3	
	o) K-15 : RE (Water Supply and Sewerage)	3	
	p) K-16: RE (Measurement)	3	
	Total Points	40	
The number of points to be assigned to each of the above positions shall be determined considering the following two sub-criteria and relevant percentage weights:			
1. General qualifications (Relevant Education)		**20**	
	BSc	15	
	MSc (Where Required in ToR)	5	
2. Adequacy for the Assignment (experience in the similar assignments:		**80**	
	Overall Experience	15	
	Job Related Experience at various positions	45	
	Relevant Position Experience	20	
	Total Weights	**100**	
Total Points of above four (4) Criterion			**100**

	The minimum technical score (St) required to pass | **70** Notes: 1. The consultants shall propose its personnel against all the key positions provided in the ToR. In addition to key experts indicated above, consultants shall propose Non-key experts/Technical support staff to complete the assignment as per the assessment. 2. All the key experts and non-key experts should be nominated by name in the proposal. 3. Regarding criteria (i), points will be awarded on pro-rata basis if years/assignment are less than indicated. Further, in case of a Joint Venture, points for this criteria will be divided equally among firms of JV, afterwards evaluation of each firm of JV will be made as a single firm and then points of each firm of JV will be combined to arrive the total score of a JV against this criteria. 4. Experience of key experts will be evaluated on pro-rata basis if found less than indicated in ToR. 5. Key experts not having the required basic education (indicated in ToR) will not be considered for further evaluation.
23.1	**An online option of the opening of the Financial Proposals is offered:** No.
25.1	For the purpose of the evaluation, the Procuring Agency will exclude: (a) all local identifiable indirect taxes such as sales tax, excise tax, VAT, or similar taxes levied on the contract's invoices; and (b) all additional local indirect tax on the remuneration of services rendered by non-resident experts in the Procuring Agency's country. If a Contract is awarded, at Contract negotiations, all such taxes will be discussed, finalized (using the itemized list as a guidance but not limiting to it) and added to the Contract amount as a separate line, also indicating which taxes shall be paid by the Consultant and which taxes are withheld and paid by the Procuring Agency on behalf of the Consultant.
26.1	**NA**
27.1	**The lowest evaluated Financial Proposal (Fm) is given the maximum financial score (Sf) of 100.**