

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(506)/GM(P&CA)/NHA/2021/

Islamabad. 6.2. June, 2021

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): Construction Supervision for Services for Maintenance Works of NHA for the Years 2018-19, 2019-20

and 2020-21

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the combined Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

> (SAMI-UR-REHMAN) General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;

Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority				
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure				
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision for Maintenance Works of NHA for the Years 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21				
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(506)				
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS429062E				
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	1st September, 2020 at 1130 hours local time				
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	1st September, 2020 at 1200 hours local time				
8.	No of Bids Received:	Six (06) Proposals were received				
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I				
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below				

	Marks			Evaluated	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis	
Name of Bidder	(if (if (out		Total (out of 1000)	Cost (EC)* (PKR)	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
1) M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Cameos Architects & Consultants and M/s Hussain Engineering Services (HES)	598	199	797	698,329,904	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))	
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. and M/s APEX Consulting Engineering	629	166	795	834,551,808	2 nd	
3) M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering Consultancy Services Punjab (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Ease Pak	594	200	794	694,034,296	3rd	

Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision for Maintenance Works of NHA for the Years 2018-Page 1 of 2 19, 2019-20 and 2020-21

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Rehman Habib Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.			
4) M/s G3 Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Professional Engineering Consultancy Services	530	Financial Proposal not opened	PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
5) M/s Techno-Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s A.A. Associates and M/s BAK Consulting Engineers	496	-do-	-do-
6) M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Civ-Tech, M/s Electra Consultants and M/s Gulf Engineering	Non- Responsive	-do-	-do-

M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Indus Top Ranked Bidder: Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Cameos Architects & Consultants and M/s Hussain Engineering Services (HES)

Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like 11. to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Cameos Architects & Consultants and M/s Hussain Engineering Services (HES) at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs.810,062,689/-.

General Manager (P&CA) Signature:.... National Highway Authority Islamabad

Official Stamp:.....

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).

^{*}EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

National Highway Authority



Annex-I
Criteria
FOR
Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision for Maintenance Works of NHA for the Years 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21

May, 2021

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA W		Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
I. Firms Experience	100	9516			
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
. Key Personnel**	450				
(i) Chief Resident Engineer	53				
(ii) Resident Engineers-I, II, III & IV	4 x 25				
(iii) Assistant Resident Engineers-I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII & XIII	13 x 16				
(iv) Material Engineers-I, II, III & IV	4 x 16				
I. Performance Certification from clients***	100		-	1074	
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50		168		1
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50		1/5/	N. W.	(Z)
TOTAL:	1000		12-1	17.23	181

ellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% re: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

nciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and uping of each page of proposal.

irm's affidavit for presence of personnel caries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-Cplaced in Technical Proposal Forms).

25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract inded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

*Criteria for New firm is the one which has carried out maximum 3 projects in 6 years.

The state of

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE (Show all experts to be evaluated)		Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 65%		Status with the Firm**		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
			Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
(i)	Chief Resident Engineer									
(ii)	Resident Engineers-I, II, III & IV						1/4			
(iii)	Assistant Resident Engineers-I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII & XIII						3			
(iv)	Material Engineers-I, II, III & IV									

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Non-complying - 0%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Chief Resident Engineer, Resident Engineers, and Assistant Resident Engineers: M. Sc. – 100% and B. Sc. – 70%.

For Material Engineers: M.Sc. (Geological Engineering/ Geo-Technical Engineering)/ M.Phil in Geology- 100% and B.Sc. (Civil/ Geological Engineering)/ M.Sc. (Geology) - 70%.

** 6 months' older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

