## TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Information Technology Department, Govt. of Gilgit Baltistan

2. Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelope, PPRA 2004

3. Title of Procurement: Transform 50 primary schools into smart schools in flood

effected areas of GB

4. Tender Inquiry No.: SEC- IT-S(1)/2022-Slkills

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS512714E

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 19th June, 2023 11:00 AM

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 19th June, 2023 1:00 PM

8. No of Bids Received: 4

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Single Stage Two Envelope, PPRA 2004

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

Name of Bidder	Technical Marks (if applicable)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 37 of PP Rules, 2004.
		Technical Evaluation Committee assessed technical proposal submitted by Green Secure Pvt. Ltd and following observations were made:  i. As mentioned in para 5 in knock out clauses for service provider in RFP, the bidder must executed at-least one LMS project in education sector and submit Work
		Order(s), Project agreement(s), Completion Certificate(s) as proof of experience. However, the said bidder provided experience letter mentioning that the firm collaborated with University of Baltistan on LMS project. Work order, project completion certificate, agreement and credentials on relevant domain are not being provided by the firm.
Green Secure Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	ii. The bidder submitted a final year university project completion certificate of Mr. Afaq Ali and Ali Muhammad, students of KIU. In addition, the firm provided certificate regarding implementation of LMS in Guider School and Degree College Danyore as well
		as Career Guidance Public School and College. However, credentials of different roles on relevant domains have not being provided and submitted certificates are not duly signed and stamped.
		iii. As per knock out clause, the Service Provider / JV partner is required to have an annual turnover exceeding PKR 50 Million, and bank statement must reflect a minimum balance of PKR 15 Million. However, after careful examination of financial
		statements by the Technical Evaluation Committee, it was found that the account balance of JV partners combined was 0.579 Million.  v. As mentioned in Section III: Instruction to bidders in



	RFP, opening of bids by purchase para 5a(iv) and 5b(i), the documents have been properly signed/ stamped, and the bid is likely not to be considered if it is unsigned. Bidding documents submitted by the bidder are not duly signed and stamped.  v. Technical Evaluation Committee found that Bid validity was not submitted by the bidder.  Owing to the above mentioned findings, the Technical Evaluation Committee declared Green Secure Pvt. Ltd non-responsive.
	Technical Evaluation Committee assessed technical proposal submitted by GBee Techive Pvt. Ltd and following observations were made:  i. As per knock out clause, the Service Provider / JV partner should be an authorized distributor of solar system solutions must have experience in installation of
	minimum sive different solar solution projects in public sector. However, the firm has not submitted authorization certificate of solar distributor.
	ii. As per knock out clause, the Service Provider / JV partner must have repair and maintenance lab and technical team available in GB. As a proof, the Service
	Provider must submit pictures of lab along with
	company letter head specifying contact information as
	well as address of the lab. After examination of
	documents, it was found that lab address in letter head
	and lab pictures were not submitted by the bidder.
	iii. As per knock out clause, the bidder shall submit
	affidavit that he/it/they has/have nct been blacklist
	from any Government/Semi Government organization,
	no litigation against the Government/Semi
	Government organization and no liabilities of
GBee Techive Pvt. Ltd Non-Responsive	Covernity Collin Covernity Collins
	pending against him/it/them otherwise the 3
	contractor shall be liable for the consequences of
	wrong declaration. The bidder shall submit no
	blacklisting/no litigation/no pending liabilities
	affidavit on a stamp paper of Rs. 100. However, the
	bidder was not submitted affidavit of non-blacklisting
	certificate/no-litigation certificate/no-defaulter
	certificate of JV partner i.e. Tele Taleem.
	iv. As mentioned in Section III: Instruction to bidders in
	RFP, opening of bids by purchase para 5a(iv) and 5b(i),
	the documents have been properly signed/ stamped,
	and the bid is likely not to be considered if it unsigned.
	Bidding documents submitted by the bidder were not
	duly signed and stamped.  Technical Evaluation Committee found that Bid validity
	v. Technical Evaluation Committee found that Bid validity
	was not submitted by the bidder.
	vi. CDR copy was not attached with bidding documents.
	Onning to the cleares manting of Civiling the Medical
	Owing to the above mentioned findings, the Technical Evaluation Committee declared GBee Technive Pvt. Ltd non-
	responsive.

		Technical Evaluation Committee assessed technical
A&Z Consultant Pvt.	95	14 Jan 1971
Ltd	ere e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	proposal submitted by A&Z Consultant Pvt. Ltd and
11111		technically accepted the bidding documents, marking
		details attached vide Annex-A.
		, see the see of the s
*5		Technical Evaluation Committee assessed technical
8		proposal submitted by Irfan Brothers Pvt. Ltd and following
		observations were made:
	**	i. As mentioned in para 5 in knock out clauses for service
		as easy to the term of the same that as we save
		provider in RFP, the bidder must executed at-least one
	,	LMS project in education sector and submit Work
		Order(s), Project agreement(s), Completion
		Certificate(s) as proof of experience. However, the said
		The state of the s
		bidder was not provided start orders/work
		orders/work completion certificates. The bidder
***		submitted Gilgit College of Commerce and Economics
**		(GCCE) letter no Ref/Gcce22 dated 5th September 2022
	*	and certified that Dr. Aftab Ahmed Khan as a Principle
		Investigator of Local Challenge Fund from Higher
		Education Commission of Pakistan implemented and
	-	Lucation Commission of Taxistan Implemented and
		developed LMS for GCCE Gilgit and also conducted
		training to their staff and students on LMS. In addition,
		Dr. Aftab Ahmad Khan also provided the same LMS to
		the Learning Academy Gilgit Jutal, certified by the
		principle learning Academy vide letter No. Ker-
		09/12/22 lms-kiu dated 12/09/2022. Moreover, Nr.
		Khalid Amin, Director IT KIU Gilgit certified via letter
		that Dr. Aftab Ahmed Khan as Head IT Task Force
		during the COVID 19 situation supervised a team of
	*1	developers to develop LMS (lms.kiu.edu.pk) for KIU.
Irfan Brothers JV with		developers to develop Livis (Illis.Ritt.cut.pr.) 101
GISER Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	However Dr. Aftab Ahmed Khan executed and
GISLK I VL LIII		implement LMS project in his personal capacity which
		was not justifying that the said firm developed LMS as
		work orders/work completion certificates/project
		agreements were not attached with the bidding
		documents.
		ii. As mentioned in Section III: Instruction to bidders in
		RFP, opening of bids by purchase para 5a(iv) and 5b(i),
		the documents have been properly signed/ stamped,
		the documents have been property signed, statisfied
		and the bid is likely not to be considered if it unsigned.
	≨ A	Bidding documents submitted by the bidder are not
		duly signed and stamped.
		iii. Technical Evaluation Committee found that Bid validity
		was not submitted by the bidder.
		iv. Director Office of Research, Innovation &
	ľ	Commercialization (ORIC) KIU, Dr. Mehfooz Ullah,
]		vide letter dated 12/09/2022 certified that nine
		ı
		officials of KIU including Dr. Atfab Ahmed Khan
		providing consultancy services to a private
	1	organization i.e. Gilgit Institute of Social Research and
		Economics (GISER). No documentary proof submitted
		by the bidder regarding ownership of LMS by GISER.
	= 78	
		Moreover, project under Local Challenge Fund to
	9	develop LMS for 16 schools of Gilgit-Baltistan funded
		by Higher Education Commission (HEC) of Pakistan
•		by money addition continuosion (IILC) of Pakisian
		worth Rs. 21 Million (Principle Investigator: Dr. Aftab

	Ahmed	Khan),	certificates	regarding
	aumos chin/	agreement/	start order/wor	k completion
	certificate	of LMS are n	ot being provided	l with bidding
	document.		Mo Somice	Provider / IV

v. As per knock out clause, the Service Provider / JV partner must have repair and maintenance lab and technical team available in GB. As a proof, the Service Provider must submit pictures of lab along with company letter head specifying contact information as well as address of the lab. Technical Evaluation Committee visited maintenance lab, location provided by Irfan Brothers, sliop no. 113-114 Dar Plaza Gilgit. Committee found that lab pictures and shop no, i.e. 113-114, provided by Irfan Brothers was owned by Google Computers and Multi Traders Govt. Contractor and General Order Supplier, visit pictures of Technical Evaluation Committee attached vide Annex-B. The said shop cwner is not a JV partner of Irfan Brothers.

Owing to the above mentioned findings, the Technical Evaluation Committee declared Irfan Brothers Pvt. Ltd non-responsive.

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature:

Official Stamp:

....KUMAJL ABBAS

Deputy Secretary
Information Technology
Department Gilgit-Baltistan

\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).