



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(559)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/ 285

Islamabad, 17. May, 2022


## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design  
for Construction of Shadadkot Bypass (N-455)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(SAMI-UR-REHMAN)  
General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Shadadkot Bypass (N-455)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(559)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS472664E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	14 <sup>th</sup> March, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	14 <sup>th</sup> March, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Three (03) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
1) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services & in Association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) (Sub-Consultant)	610	200	810	12,817,733	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Umer Munshi Associates in JV with M/s M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (CPES)	584	20	604	126,279,932	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3) M/s AI Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultants and M/s Actrow Consultants	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

# **EVALUATION REPORT**

## **(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

*\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

**Top Ranked Bidder: M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services & in Association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) (Sub-Consultant)**

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services & in Association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES) (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated financial proposal of **Pak. Rs. 14,868,570/-**.

Signature: 

**General Manager (P&CA)**  
Official Stamp: **National Highway Authority**  
Islamabad

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study  
and Detailed Design for Construction of  
Shadadkot Bypass (N-455)**

**May, 2022**

A small, handwritten signature or mark in the bottom left corner of the page.

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	180				
ii. Structural Engineer	100				
iii. Pavement Engineer	75				
iv. Transport Economist	70				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



*Handwritten signature*

**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm* 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Structural Engineer								
iii. Pavement Engineer								
iv. Transport Economist								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%      Very good - 90-99%      Above Average - 80-89%      Average - 70-79%      Below Average - 1-69%      Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

\* 6-month older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

