FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Federal Government Employees Housing Authority,

Islamabad

2. Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelope

3. Title of Procurement: Infrastructure Development Works of Package-II

(Sector 18C, 19C & 4C) at KDA Scheme-33, Gulzar-e-

Hijri, Karachi

4. Tender Inquiry No.: F.NO.PD/HA/PH-I&II/Infra-Development/Package-2

5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS501110E

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 02-02-2023 At 12:00PM

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 04-04-2023 At 12:00 P.M

8. No of Bids Received: 12

9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Lowest Bid

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As per details tabulated below: -

Name of Bidder	Marks			Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Evaluated Cost	Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
PACKAGE-II				
M/s Abdul Sattar & Co	89.00	18% below Engineer's Estimate	218,272,668	1st Lowest
M/s Zarif Khan Hussan Zai & Brothers	89.00	17.5% below Engineer's Estimate	219,603,599	2 nd Lowest
M/s ZBA Group	90.43	5.1% below Engineer's Estimate	252,610,685	3 rd Lowest
M/s Kainatt Enterprises	93.00	4.99% Below Engineer's Estimate	252,903,490	4 th Lowest
M/s Haji Gul Muhammad Khan Shirani	92.00	0 Above/ Below Engineer's Estimate	266,186,180	5 th Lowest
M/s Rehman Construction Co.	92.00	1.30% above Engineer's Estimate	269,638,308	6 th Lowest

M/s Shamsher Khan &	96.50	7.27%	285,533,478	7 th Lowest
Co	70.00	above	200,000,170	- 1 - 1
00		Engineer's		
		Estimate	(1	
M/S Haji Syed Ameer	94.58	18.0%	314,099,692	8th Lowest
And Brothers		above	-1.11-11.0	and the second
, and bromois		Engineer's		
		Estimate		
M/s Umer Jan &	98.00	19.36%	317,719,697	9 th Lowest
Company		above		
30 100 TO		Engineer's		
		Estimate		
M/s Muhammad	93.00	66.0%	441,869,059	10th Lowest
Siddique And Brothers		above		
		Engineer's		1
	hi	Estimate		
M/s Fazal Construction	68.25			Technically Disqualified due to: -
Company			1.0	1. Form of Bid not provided on
				Affidavit or Letterhead
			_	2. Failed in General Experience
				3. Failed in key persons
				4. Failed in plant and
				equipment's
M/s Mohib Enterprises	59.38		7 7 44	Technically Disqualified due to: -
				Form of Bid not provided
		19 701		2. Failed in General Experience
				3. Failed in Work Program &
				Work Methodology
				4. Failed in plant and
				equipment's
(Add Columns if Required)				

Most Advantageous Bidder: Based on the comparison of the bids received, it transpired that M/s Abdul Sattar & Co is the lowest bidder and their quoted rates are 18% below the Engineer's Estimate formulated on PWD-2022 schedule rates and some NSI rates. Client may consider award of works to M/s Abdul Sattar & Co and may also seek rate analysis of the items included in the scope of works.

11. Any other a	dditional / supporting information, th	ne procuring agency may like to share.
Signature:		
Official Stamp:		
*Standard Riddir	og Documents (SRD)	LA

