



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(544)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/184

Islamabad, 06 April, 2022

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2,  
**Islamabad**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW &  
CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF  
HOSHAB-AWARAN-KHUZDAR; SECTION OF M-8 PROJECT  
SECTION-2: AWARAN-NAAL, LENGTH (168 KM)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Combined Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(Sami-Ur-Rehman)

General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Services)-P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.



# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Design Review & Construction Supervision For Construction Of Hoshab-Awaran-Khuzdar; Section Of M-8 Project Section-2: Awaran-Naal, Length (168 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(544)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS458103E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	15 <sup>th</sup> September, 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	15 <sup>th</sup> September, 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at <b>Annex-I</b>
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
1- M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE, Ltd, M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.	650	180	830	439,807,320	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2- M/s A.A. Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s MM Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Unique Associates	564	200	764	396,721,813	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3- M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering General Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s APEX Consulting Engineering	624	132	756	599,881,341	3 <sup>rd</sup>

Consultancy Services For Design Review & Construction Supervision For Construction Of Hoshab-Awaran-Khuzdar; Section Of M-8 Project Section-2: Awaran-Naal, Length (168 Km)



# EVALUATION REPORT


## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
4- M/s Indus Associated Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s CAMEOS Engineering Consultants and M/s Karakoram Engineers	546	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

*\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

**Top Ranked Bidder:** M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE, Ltd, M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE, Ltd, M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 506,353,418/-.

Signature:  .....

**General Manager (P&CA)**

Official Stamp: National Highway Authority..  
Islamabad

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW &  
CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF  
HOSHAB-AWARAN-KHUZDAR; SECTION OF M-8  
PROJECT SECTION-2: AWARAN-NAAL, LENGTH (168  
KM)**

**April, 2022**

A handwritten signature or set of initials, possibly 'Ja', is located at the bottom center of the page. It is written in a cursive, fluid style.

## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Status with the Firm** 10% for Design Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings) (A+B+C)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b>Design Review Team:</b>								
a)	Highway Design Engineer							
b)	Structure Engineer							
c)	Drainage Engineer /Hydrologist							
d)	Geotechnical/Soil & Material Engineer							
e)	Traffic/Pavement Analyst							
f)	Environmental Engineer							
<b>Construction Supervision Team:</b>								
g)	Chief Resident Engineer							
h)	Resident Engineer - I,II&III							
i)	Material Engineer - I,II&III							
j)	Contract Specialist							
k)	ARE (Highways) Engineer - I,II&III							
l)	ARE Structure/Bridge Engineer - I,II&III							
m)	Quantity Surveyor - I,II,III&IV							

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good – 90-99%

Above Average – 80-89%

Average – 70-79%

Below Average – 1-69%

Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.


\* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to Clause 5.6 of TOR.

\*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%;  
Less than 6 months or associates- 0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate from employer duly attested by respective bank shall be attached with the proposal.)



## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology/ Innovativeness	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
<b>Design Review Team:</b>					
a) Highway Design Engineer	10				
b) Structure Engineer	10				
c) Drainage Engineer /Hydrologist	05				
d) Geotechnical/Soil & Material Engineer	05				
e) Traffic/Pavement Analyst	05				
f) Environmental Engineer	05				
<b>Construction Supervision Team:</b>					
g) Chief Resident Engineer	45				
h) Resident Engineer - I,II&III	3x40				
i) Material Engineer - I,II&III	3x20				
j) Contract Specialist	30				
k) ARE (Highways) Engineer - I,II&III	3x15				
l) ARE Structure/Bridge Engineer - I,II&III	3x15				
m) Quantity Surveyor - I,II,III&IV	4x10				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.