

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & detailed design for construction of road from Baiker to Marri top Dera Bugti (51 km) approx.
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(643)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS548111E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	8 th October, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	8 th October, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Three (03) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s CAMEOS Architects & Consultants and in association with M/s ICE Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	609	200	809	34,341,446	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub-Consultant)	642	156	798	43,922,956	2 nd
3) M/s AA Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates and in association with M/s Unique Associates (Sub-Consultant)	586	193	779	35,496,684	3 rd

*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Most Advantageous Consultant: M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s CAMEOS Architects & Consultants and in association with M/s ICE Consultant (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract will be awarded to M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s CAMEOS Architects & Consultants and in association with M/s ICE Consultant (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 45,636,077/- which includes Salary Cost, Direct Non-Salary Cost and all applicable taxes including GST.

Signature:.....

General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp.....

National Highway Authority
Islamabad

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Final Evaluation

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR
FEASIBILITY STUDY & DETAILED
DESIGN FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD
FROM BAIKER TO MARRI TOP DERA
BUGTI (51 KM) APPROX.**

March, 2025

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	<u>70</u>				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	<u>50</u>				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	<u>80</u>				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	<u>10</u>				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	<u>20</u>				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	<u>20</u>				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Sr. Highway Engineer	100				
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer	90				
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	70				
iv. Junior Structure Engineer	40				
v. Junior Highway Engineer	50				
vi. Transport Economist	40				
vii. Quantity Surveyor	35				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,
 Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								(A+B+C)
i. Team Leader/ Sr. Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural/Bridge Engineer								
iii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer								
iv. Junior Structure Engineer								
v. Junior Highway Engineer								
vi. Transport Economist								
vii. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good – 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

* Regular Employee or more than six (06) months with firms - 100%;
Part time/ First time for this assignment-0%

