



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(600)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/148

Islamabad, 17. March, 2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):**
Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision of Mirpur Mathelo Interchange on Sukkur-Multan Motorway (M-5) at Km 462+540 & Its Link with National Highways (N-5)/ G. T. Roads (5.5 Km)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN)
General Manager (P&CA)

Distribution:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision of Mirpur Mathelo Interchange on Sukkur-Multan Motorway (M-5) at KM 462+540 & its link with National Highways (N-5)/ G. T. Roads (5.5 km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(600)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS501871E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	7 th March, 2023 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	7 th March at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Nine (09) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below


Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Sub-Consultant)	798	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Engineering General Consultant EGC (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Scenario Global Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd (Sub-Consultant)	763	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Cameos Architect and Planners in JV with M/s Hussain Engineering Services, M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services and M/s Comrades Planning & Design Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	744	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing	741	Technically Qualified

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tech-Professional and Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.		
5) M/s A.A Associates in JV M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s ACTROW Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	727	Technically Qualified
6) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s Indus Associates Consultants and M/s Engineering & Technical Associates (Sub-Consultants)	726	Technically Qualified
7) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Al Engineers Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd	711	Technically Qualified
8) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd and in association with M/s Frinco Engineering (Sub Consultant)	690	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
9) M/s Umar Munshi Associates and M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	667	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)


11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:**
The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:..........

Official Stamp:.....**General Manager (P&CA)**
National Highway Authority

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Technical Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Design Review and
Construction Supervision of Mirpur Mathelo
Interchange on Sukkur-Multan Motorway
(M-5) at Km 462+540 & Its Link with
National Highways (N-5)/ G. T. Roads
(5.5 Km)**

March, 2023

Section II. Instructions to Consultants

Annex-I to Data Sheet

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
a- <u>Design, Review Key-Staff</u>					
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer	15				
ii. Structure Engineer	10				
iii. Pavement Engineer	10				
iv. Geo-Tech Engineer	10				
v. Environmental Specialist	10				
vi. Chief Quantity Surveyor	5				
b- <u>Construction Supervision Key-Staff</u>					
i. Chief Resident Engineer	90				
ii. Resident Engineer	65				
iii. Material Engineer	50				
iv. Contract Specialist (on Intermittent Basis)	30				
v. ARE (Highways)	50				
vi. ARE Structure	50				
vii. Senior Quantity Surveyor	30				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Design, Review and Construction Supervision of Mirpur Mathelo Interchange on Sukkur-Multan Motorway (M-5) & its Link with National Highway (N-5)/ G. T. Road (5.5 KM)



Section II. Instructions to Consultants

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design, Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Stays with the Firm** 10% for Design, Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
Design, Review Key-Staff								
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer								
ii. Structure Engineer								
iii. Pavement Engineer								
iv. Geo-Tech Engineer								
v. Environmental Specialist								
vi. Chief Quantity Surveyor								
Construction Supervision Key-Staff								
i. Chief Resident Engineer								
ii. Resident Engineer								
iii. Material Engineer								
iv. Contract Specialist (on Intermittent Basis)								
v. ARE (Highways)								
vi. ARE Structure								
vii. Senior Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%
Non-complying - 0%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Clause 5.6.

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;

The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

