


EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Dualization & Rehabilitation of Karachi Quetta- Chaman Road (N-25) From Karachi-Kararo (232 Km) and Wadh -Khuzdar (41 Km) Total Length 273 Km: Section-IIB Bela-Kararo Section (61 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(630)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS537918E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	26 th June, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	26 th June, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s NESPAK in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Bosphorus Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	856	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd.in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd in JV with, M/s Engineering General Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. , M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineer (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	852	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Indus Associates Consultant in JV with M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s CAMEOS Consultants and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	845	Technically Qualified




EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Dualization & Rehabilitation of Karachi Quetta- Chaman Road (N-25) From Karachi-Kararo (232 Km) and Wadh -Khuzdar (41 Km) Total Length 273 Km: Section-IIB Bela-Kararo Section (61 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(630)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS537918E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	26 th June, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	26 th June, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s NESPAK in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Bosphorus Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	856	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd.in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd in JV with, M/s Engineering General Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. , M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Tareek Consulting Engineer (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	852	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Indus Associates Consultant in JV with M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s CAMEOS Consultants and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	845	Technically Qualified




EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
4) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Osmani & Company (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s PAVRON and in association with M/s ICE Consultant (Sub-Consultant)		Non-Responsive As per (Data Sheet of the RFP, Clause 20.1 (i))

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 
General Manager (P&CA)
Official Stamp National Highway Authority....
Islamabad
**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (FTP)

EVALUATION CRITERIA		Max. Weightage	Firm 1 Rating	Firm 1 Score	Firm 2 Rating	Firm 2 Score
1. Firms Experience		100				
	1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
	1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology		250				
	2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
	(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
	(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
	(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
	2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
	(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
	(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
	2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
	(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
	(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
	(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
	2-d. <u>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</u>	10				
	2-e. <u>Work Program</u>	20				
	2-f. <u>Staffing Schedule</u>	20				
3. Key Personnel		450				
	Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
a-	Design Review (Key-Staff)	70				
i.	Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	30				
ii.	Senior Structure Engineer	20				
iii.	Drainage Engineer/ Hydrologist	20				
b-	Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)	355				
i.	Resident Engineer	60				
ii.	ARE (Highways) Engineer I&II	2x35				
iii.	ARE (Structure/Bridge) Engineer	40				
iv.	Material Engineer	50				
v.	Contract Specialist (on Intermittent Basis)	35				
vi.	Environmental Specialist (on Intermittent Basis)	35				
vii.	Slope Stabilization Expert (on Intermittent Basis)	35				
viii.	Quantity Surveyor	30				
4. Performance Certification from clients		75				
	Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)		50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)		50				
TOTAL:		1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Consultancy Services for Design Review & Construction Supervision for Dualization & Rehabilitation of Karachi Quetta- Chaman Road (N-25) from Karachi-Kararo (232 KM) and Wadh –Khuzdar (41 KM) Total Length 273 KM : Section-IIB Bela-Kararo Section (61.00 KM)

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for [Design Review] and 65% for [Construction Supervision]		Status with the Firm ** 10% for [Design Review] and 05% for [Construction Supervision]		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								(A+B+C)
<u>Design Review (Key-Staff)</u>								
i) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
ii) Senior Structure Engineer								
iii) Drainage Engineer / Hydrologist								
<u>Construction Supervision (Key-Staff)</u>								
i) Resident Engineer								
ii) ARE (Highways) Engineer I&II								
iii) ARE (Structure/Bridge) Engineer								
iv) Material Engineer								
v) Contract Specialist (on Intermittent Basis)								
vi) Environmental Specialist (on Intermittent Basis)								
vii) Slope Stabilization Expert (on Intermittent Basis)								
viii) Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good – 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69%
 Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to TOR Chapter 5, Clause -5.6 (Qualification and Experience Requirement of Personnel).

** 6 month's older employee - 100%;

The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.