



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(590)/DIR (III)/NHA/2023/69

Islamabad, 02nd February, 2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY & DETAILED DESIGN FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERCHANGE AT TANDIANWALA AREA DISTRICT FAISALABAD ON M-3 MOTORWAY**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(MATEEN RAANA KAZMI)

DY.DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA) NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Consultancy) P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad.

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study & Detailed Design For Establishment Of Interchange At Tandianwala Area District Faisalabad On M-3 Motorway
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(590)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS490809E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	12 th October, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	12 th October, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant & M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd (Sub Consultant).	674	200	874	13,241,406	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech.	652	154	806	17,219,425	2 nd
3) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s A.A Associates & in association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub Consultant).	630	133	763	19,868,035	3 rd



FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
4) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd, in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre ACC (Pvt.) Ltd, & in association with M/s Tech-Professionals and Consultancy (Pvt.) Ltd, (Sub-consultant).	645	114	759	23,314,488	4 th
5) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd in association with M/s ZTECH Engineering Solutions (Sub-consultant).	644	93	737	28,336,492	5 th

**EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant & M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd (Sub Consultant).

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant & M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd (Sub Consultant) at the rebated Consultancy Cost of Pak. Rs. 13,241,406/-.

Signature:.....



Official Stamp:.....

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study &
Detailed Design for Establishment of
Interchange at Tandianwala area District
Faisalabad on M-3 Motorway**

February, 2023

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	110				
ii. Senior Structural Engineer	90				
iii. Junior Structural Engineer	80				
iv. Junior Highway Engineer	80				
v. Pavement Engineer	30				
vi. Transport Economist	35				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,
 Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

The Key professional Staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/available for the assignment/associates/part time available for the assignment, in such cases status with the firm marks shall not be allocated.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural Engineer								
iii. Junior Structural Engineer								
iv. Junior Highway Engineer								
v. Pavement Engineer								
vi. Transport Economist								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

