

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(639)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2024/549 Islamabad, 6644 December, 2024

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35): CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR UPGRADATION OF EXISTING NATIONAL HIGHWAY (N-15) MANSEHRA-NARAN-JALKHAD-CHILAS TO A 4-LANE DIVIDED EXPRESSWAY (235 KM APPROX.)

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

the Evaluation Report along with Find enclosed herewith Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

GENERAL MANAGER (P&CA)

DISTRIBUTION:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

COPY TO:

Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.



EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1	l.	Name of Procuring Agency:		National Highway Authority
2	2.	Method of Procurement:		Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3	3.	Title of Procurement:		Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Upgradation Of Existing National Highway (N-15) Mansehra-Naran-Jalkhad-Chilas to A 4-Lane Divided Expressway (235 Km Approx.)
4	F.,	Tender Inquiry No.:		6(639)
5	5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):		TS543501E
6	3.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:		29th August, 2024 at 1130 hours local time
7	7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:		29th August, 2024 at 1200 hours local time
8	3.	No of Bids Received:		Four (04) Proposals were received
9	€.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	,	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
1	0.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	9	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Kasib Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Osmani Global (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	814	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE (Ltd.) in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s AA Associates, M/s EN EM Associates in association with M/s Scenario Global Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	810	Technically Qualified
3) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s TURKPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd. ◆and in association with M/s The SPATIO (Sub-Consultant)	798 (Page 1997)	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Engineering & Management Consultancy Services (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd., M/s BK Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	791	Technically Qualified

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Upgradation of Existing National Highway (N-15) Mansehra-Naran-Jalkhad-Chilas to A 4-Lane Divided Expressway (235 Km Approx.) Page 1 of 2

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
and in association with M/s Z-Tech Engineering Solutions (Sub- Consultant)		1)**

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:...

General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp: National Highway Authority

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Technical Evaluation

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND DETAILED DESIGN FOR UPGRADATION OF EXISTING NATIONAL HIGHWAY (N-15) MANSEHRA-NARAN-JALKHAD-CHILAS TO A 4-LANE DIVIDED EXPRESSWAY (235 KM APPROX.)

December, 2024

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

	•	Max.	Firm 1		Firm 2	
EVALUATION CRITERIA		Weightage	Räting	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience		100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector		25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment		75				
2. Approach and Methodology		250		Ç		
2-a. Appreciation of the Project		70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs		(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	= =	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation		(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives		50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives		(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	19.1	(20)				
2-c. Methodology		80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	~	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology		(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal		(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR		10				
2-e. Work Program		20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule		20				
3. Key Personnel		450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel		25				
i. Team Leader/Sr. Highway Engineer		70			i,	
ii. Senior Structural / Bridge Engineer		40		- 11		
iii. Senior Tunnel Engineer		40				
iv. Junior Structural / Bridge Engineer-I & II		2 x 20				
v. Junior Highway Engineer-I & II		2 x 25		1		-
vi. Junior Tunnel Engineer		40				
vii. Pavement & Drainage Engineer		15				



edConsultancy Service for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Upgradation of Existing National Highway (N-15) Mansehra-Naran-Jalkhad-Chilas to a 4-lane divided Expressway (235 Km Approx.)

Summary Evaluation Sheet

Say No to Corruption

EVALUATION CRITERIA*	Max.	SEESE Firm 103349	Firm-212
EVALUATION CRITERIA	*Weightage*	Rating Score	Rating
viii. Slope Stabilization Expert	30	.,	
ix. Snow Survey & Avalanches Specialist	. 15		
x. Tunnel E&M Engineer	15		
xi. Tunnel SCADA & IT Engineer	15		
xii. Transport Economist	15		
xiii. Quantity Surveyor	40		
4. Performance Certification from clients	75		
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25		
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength - justification)	50		5
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50		
TOTAL:	1000		

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

