TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	MEPCO
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope procedure
3. Title of Procurement: 8. brick wo filling for an Muhammad		Construction of boundary wall (RCC frame structure & brick work) and main entry gate including earth filling for approach road at new 132KV Grid Station Muhammad Pur Dewan (Mouza Bukhara) (MEPCO OWN RESOURCES)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	Tender No. 21-22/GSC (2024-25): Tender-2
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS551176E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	02.12.2024 11:00 Hrs.
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	02.12.2024 11:30 Hrs.
8.	No. of Bids Received:	04 (Four)
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	As per bidding documents
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As under

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Non-Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds;
		a) The bidder submitted the work orders withou completion certificate that is not similar as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.
M/S Al-Hikmat Construction & Co. Ziarat		b) The bid validity is not mentioned in the letter of the technical bid.
a Co. Ziarat		c) The bidder did not attach the income tax return for the year 2023-24 as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.h) of the bidding document / NIT.
		d) The bidder did not attach the registration for sales tax with the Punjab Revenue Authority as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.k) of the bidding document / NIT.
M/S AHTC - ALKHASS (Pvt.) Ltd Lahore (JV)	Responsive	

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Non-Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds;
		The bidder submitted 03-No. work orders with completion certificate. The reservations on these work orders are given below;
M/S M. Saeed Contractor Faisalabad		a) First work order is of similar nature, but the cost of the project amounting to Rs: 17,193,094/- which is less than 60% of the estimated / BOQ cost as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.
		b) The 2 nd work order is not of the same nature. The work order and completion certificate show that the said project was not completed during the last five years as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.
16.00		c) 3 rd work order is not of similar nature as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.
	Non-Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds;
		a) The bidder did not attach the letter of technical bid with the bid.
		b) The bidder did not attach the bid security amounting to Rs:900,000/- with the technical bid as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.b) of the bidding document / NIT.
M/S Mehsood Construction (Pvt.) Ltd Waziristan		c) The submitted work orders attached in the technical bid are not of similar nature as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.
		d) The bidder did not attach the integrity pact as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.f) of the bidding document / NIT.
		e) The bidder did not provide the copy of the bid as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 18.4 of the bidding document / NIT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR (GSC) MEPCO LIMITED MULTAN