

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	MEPCO
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Construction of boundary wall (RCC frame structure & brick work) and main entry gate at new 132KV Grid Station Khanewal-II (MEPCO Own Resources)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	Tender No.21-22/GSC (2024-25) Tender No.1
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS551176E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	02.12.2024 11:00 Hrs.
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	02.12.2024 11:30 Hrs.
8.	No. of Bids Received:	06 (Six)
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	As per bidding documents
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As under

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/S AL Hikmat Construction & Co. Ziarat.	Non-Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The bidder submitted the work orders without completion certificate that is not similar as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT. 2) The bid validity is not mentioned in the letter of the technical bid. 3) The bidder did not attach the income tax return for the year 2023-24 as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.h) of the bidding document / NIT. 4) The bidder did not attach the registration for sales tax with the Punjab Revenue Authority as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.k) of the bidding document / NIT.
M/s Naeem & Co. Lahore	Non-Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds; The bidder submitted completion certificate of the work in which it is not clear that boundary wall constructed frame structure with brick work. Furthermore, bidder has not submitted any documents which showed that the work of boundary wall was completed with frame structure with bricks work as mentioned in bidding data sheet clause 11.1 (A-i) of bidding document.
M/s Al-Hussain Traders Contractors – M/S Al-Khurram Associates (Pvt.) Ltd Lahore (JV)	Responsive	
M/s M Saeed Contractors Faisalabad.	Non-Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds; The bidder submitted 03-No. work orders with completion certificate. The reservations on these work orders are given below; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) First work order is of similar nature, but the cost of the project amounting to Rs. 17,193,094/- which is less than 60% of the estimated / BOQ cost as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT. 2) The 2nd work order is not of the same nature. The work order and completion certificate shows that the said project was not completed during the last five years as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT. 3) 3rd work order is not of similar nature as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/s Mehsood Construction (Pvt.) Ltd. Waziristan.	Non-Responsive	<p>The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The bidder did not attach the letter of technical bid with the bid. 2) The bidder did not attach the bid security with the technical bid as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.b) of the bidding document / NIT. 3) The submitted work orders attached in the technical bid are not of similar nature as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT. 4) The bidder did not attach the integrity pact as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 11.1 (A.f) of the bidding document / NIT. 5) The bidder did not provide the copy of the bid as required in the bidding data sheet clause No. 18.4 of the bidding document / NIT.
M/s M.K Enterprises Islamabad	Non-Responsive	<p>The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds;</p> <p>The bidder submitted completion certificate of the work in which it is not clear that boundary wall constructed frame structure with brick work. Furthermore, bidder has not submitted any documents which showed that the work of boundary wall was completed with frame structure with bricks work as mentioned in bidding data sheet clause 11.1 (A-i) of bidding document.</p>


PROJECT DIRECTOR (GSC)
MEPCO LIMITED MULTAN