

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(590)/DIR (III)/NHA/2022/Lo/6

Islamabad, 6...December, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank. Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY & DETAILED DESIGN FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERCHANGE AT TANDIANWALA AREA DISTRICT

FAISALABAD ON M-3 MOTORWAY

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

General Manager (P&CA) NHA, Islamabad;

Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority					
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure					
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Establishment of Interchange at Tandianwala area District Faisalabad on M-3 Motorway					
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(590)					
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS490809E					
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	12 th October, 2022 at 1130 hours local time					
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	12 th October, 2022 at 1200 hours local time					
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received					
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I					
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below					

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant (NVEC) & in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd (Sub Consultant).	842	Technically Qualified
M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd & in with association M/s Pakistan Engineering TECH.	815	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd & in Association with M/s Tech -Professionals and Consultancy (Sub Consultant).	806	Technically Qualified
M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd in association with M/s ZTECH Engineering Solutions (Sub Consultant).	805	Technically Qualified
5) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with A.A Associates & in association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	787	Technically Qualified

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study &

Detailed Design for Establishment of Interchange at Tandianwala area District Faisalabad on M-3 Motorway



EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:.....

Official Stamp:.....

**Standard Bidding

ocuments (SBD).

National Highway Authority



Annex-I Criteria *FOR* Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Establishment of Interchange at Tandianwala area District Faisalabad on M-3 Motorway

December, 2022

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE		Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)	··	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)	
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer									
ii. Senior Structural Engineer							e de la companya de		
iii. Junior Structural Engineer									
iv. Junior Highway Engineer									
v. Pavement Engineer									
vi. Transport Economist									

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average – 80-89%

Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.



STIMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL FRO		Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
l. Firms Experience	100			<u></u>	
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. Appreciation of the Project	<u>70</u>				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)			ļ	
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)			<u> </u>	
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)			<u> </u>	1
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	<u>50</u>				·
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)			ļ	
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)			<u> </u>	
2-c. Methodology	80			ļ	
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10	*			
2-e. Work Program	<u>20</u>			ļ	
2-f. Staffing Schedule	<u>20</u>				
3. Key Personnel	450			ļ	
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	110				
ii. Senior Structural Engineer	90				
iii. Junior Structural Engineer	80				-
iv. Junior Highway Engineer	80				
v. Pavement Engineer	30			 	
vi. Transport Economist	35				, digin
4. Performance Certification from clients	75			1 1/25	
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25	ļ		1/3/1	1
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				Y.
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50	14 PM		101	Tag Y
o. Transfer of Khowledge (overlidelogy views)	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%, Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

The Key professional Staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/available for the assignment/associates/part time available for the assignment, in such cases status with the firm marks shall not be allocated.