

MULTAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY (MEPCO)

OFFICE OF PROJECT DIRECTOR GRID SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION (GSC) MEPCO MULTAN

Memo No. 4866-68 PD(GSC)

Dated: 12/12/10 4

Assistant Director-II Cabinet Division PPRA Islamabad.

Subject:

UPLOADING OF MEPCO GSC TENDER EVALUATION REPORTS ON PPRA, WEBSITE

Enclosed please find the evaluation report for the under mentioned tender for uploading on PPRA website. In this regard, a cross cheque bearing No. .00005180 Amounting to Rs, 15,000/- is enclosed for further necessary action.

Tender No.	Description
Tender No. 04-05/GSC (2024-25): Tender-1	Construction of equipment foundations and allied works of 132KV Switch Yard and Control House Building for new 132KV Grid Station Vehari Industrial Estate Vehari (Deposit Work)
	(Technical Evaluation)

DA/As Above

MEPCO LIMITED MULTAN

C.C.TO:

- Chief Engineer (Dev.) PMU MEPCO, Multan for information please.
- Mr. Khurram Javed (IT Officer) office of the Chief Executive MEPCO, Multan, for uploading of evaluation reports on MEPCO, Website.

CamScanner

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	MEPCO	
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope procedure	
3.	Title of Procurement:	Construction of equipment foundations and allied works of 132KV Switch Yard and Control House Building for new 132KV Grid Station Vehari Industrial Estate Vehari (Deposit Work)	
4.	4. Tender Inquiry No.: Tender No. 04-05/GSC (2024-25) Tender-		
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS546008E	
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing: 20.09.2024 11:00 Hrs.		
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	20.09.2024 11:30 Hrs.	
8. No. of Bids Received: 04 (Four)		04 (Four)	
9.	. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: As per bidding documents		
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As under		

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
M/S M. Saeed Contractor Faisalabad	Non- Responsive	 The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds; The bidder has supplied 04-No. work orders with completion certificate and reservations on these work orders are appended below; a) The first work order issued on 19.03.2013 with completion period of 180-days. The frame structure nature and date of completion are not mentioned in the completion certificate issued by Project Director (GSC) IESCO Islamabad on 28.09.2016. The date of work order shows that the said project was no completed during the last ten years as required in bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.i) of bidding document / NIT. b) The 2nd work order issued on 11.10.2013 with completion period of 180-days. The frame structure nature and date of completion are not mentioned in the completion certificate issued by Project Director (GSC) IESCO Islamabad on 03.03.2015. The date of work order shows that the said project was not completed during the last ten years as required in bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.i) of bidding document / NIT. c) The 3rd & 4th work orders with completion certificates are not of the same nature. 	
		as required in bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.i) of bidding document ANIT.	
M/S Techavia Power Engineering & Contracting Co. Faisalabad	Non- Responsive	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds; a) The bidder submitted 02-No. work orders with completion certificates are not of the same nature as required in the bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.i) of the bidding document / NIT.	
M/S Al-Khurram Associates (Pvt.) Ltd Lahore	Responsive		

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Non- Responsive d	The bidder declared technical non-responsive due to following grounds;
		a) 02-No. work orders with completion certificates completed by lead partner Amir Khan & Brothers Co. Quetta. The frame structure work nature are not clearly mentioned in work orders and completion certificates as required in bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.i) of bidding document / NIT.
M/S Amir Khan & Brothers Co.		b) The other 02-No. work orders with completion certificates completed by second partner M/s A.Q Construction Company Kotri. The frame structure work nature are not clearly mentioned in work orders and completion certificates as required in bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.i) of bidding document / NIT.
Quetta - M/S A.Q		c) Firm (JV) did not attach the joint venture NTN registration with FBR.
Construction Company Kotri (JV)		d) Firm (JV) did not attach the joint venture registration for sales tax with Punjab Revenue Authority as required in bidding data sheet clause No.11.1 (A.j) of bidding document / NIT.
		e) The joint venture is appended on stamp paper is doubtful as the signatures of both contractors are similar and stamp papers have been issued online in the last few months.
		f) In the point No.6 of joint venture agreement that all the cheques issued by Project Director (GSC) MEPCO Multan for the work executed to the project must be in the name of A.Q Construction company Kotri only and reimburse accordingly which is not valid.

