(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Water And Power Development Authority (WAPDA)
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope
3.	Title of Procurement:	Procurement of Unified Master Planning, Engineering Design, Construction Supervision and Contract Administration for WAPDA Hydraulic Model Research & Training Institute (WHR&TI) at Chicho-Ki-Malian, Sheikhupura
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	PRD(L)/WAPDA/036(2024-25)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS54025E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	02.10.2024 at 1100 hrs
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	05.12.2024 at 1200 hrs
8.	No of Bids Received:	Three (03)
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation, relevant pages attached as Annexure-II
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As Below

Sr. No.	JV Firms	Technical Score (St)	Corrected Price Eq. PKR (F)	Financial Score (Sf=100x Fm/F)	Combined Score (Stx80% + Sfx20%)	Combined Ranking
1.	M/s ACE	84.50	99,366,956	89.734	85.547	1
2.	M/s NESPAK	83.35	213,465,795	41.771	75.034	111
3.	M/s BARQAAB	78.50	89,166,290	100.00	82.800	- 11

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s ACE

Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like toshare: 11. The Procurement was carried out in line with Public Procurement Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on Quantity & Cost Based Selection (QCBS) Method.

Signature:

General Manager

Official Stamp:

Hydro Planning Wapda Sunny View, Lahore.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) method has been adopted for selection of the consultants. The weight given to Technical (T) and Financial (F) score is 80% and 20% respectively. The consultants shall be ranked according to the combined technical (St) and financial (Sf) scores in accordance with Clause 21.1 of RFP Data Sheet.

The evaluation criteria laid down in Clause 21.1 of the RFP is as under:

21.1 (for FTP)

Criteria, sub-criteria, and point system for the evaluation of the Full Technical Proposals:

- (i) General Experience of the Consultant (i.e. Length of Incorporation as Company/Firm) or Registration/ Licensing, General Assignments etc.) as given below:
 - Length of Incorporation as Company/ firm for last 20 years

[5]

· Ten general Assignments during last 20 years

[5]

No. of Project(s)	%age
Min.2	25
3-5	60
6-7	80
8-9	90
10 and above	100

Total points for criterion (i)

10

Further in case of Joint Venture, points for this criterion will be divided equally among firms of JV, afterward evaluation of each firm of JV will be made as a single firm and then points of each firm of a JV will be combined to meet the total score of a JV against the criteria.

- (ii) Specific Experience of the Consultant (as a Firm) relevant to the Assignment: Three (03) Projects completed/ ongoing in last 20 years in each of the following categories will obtain full marks:
 - a) Irrigation Canals and Distribution System with allied structures/ arrangements [05]
 - b) Physical Hydraulic Modelling of Water Resources and Hydropower Projects

[05]

c) Design and Construction supervision of Offices and Colonies

04

No. of Project(s)	%age
1	50
2	75
3 and above	100

d) Planning and Establishment of Model Studies Cell of Water Sector

06

Total Points for criteria (ii)

20

(iii) Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in

 a) Technical approach and methodology 	10		
b) Work Plan	5		
c) Organization and staffing	5		
Total Points for criteria (iii)	20		
(iv) Key Experts' qualifications and competence	for the Assignment: 60		
(iv) Key Experts' qualifications and competence	for the Assignment:		
a) Position K-1: Project Manager / Team Leader	15		
b) Position K-2: Expert (Hydraulics)	10		
c) Position K-3: Expert (Structures)	07		
d) Position K-4: Expert (Hydraulic Modelling)	10		
e) Position K-5: Expert (Contract and Procurement)	08		
Total points for criterion (iv):	50		
field work and seventy-five (75) years of age in case of desk/ office job. The number of points to be assigned to each of the above positions will be determined considering the following three sub-criteria and relevant percentage weights:			
1) General qualifications:	20%		
(a) Basic Required Education	15%		
(b) Higher Education	5%		
2) Adequacy for the Assignment (experience in the assignments):	sector/ similar 75%		
(a) Overall General Experience	15%		
(b) Specific Experience in relevant Field	30%		
(c) Relevant Experience in similar position	30%		
3) Status with the firm:	5%		
(Full marks/ points for permanent staff, otherwise no	o points)		
Total Weight: 100%			
Total Points for three criteria's	100%:		
The minimum technical score (St) required to pass	s is: 70		
i. All the experts of the level of Senior E nominated by name in the proposal.	ngineer and above should be		

Age limit of Consultant Staff required to work in the field should not be more ii. than seventy (70) years. However, staff who are nominated purely for office / desk job, the age limit should not be more than Seventy-Five (75) years

The key expert shall be rejected by giving zero marks if not having basic iii. education though he has the required experience.