



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section  
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(533)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2021/620

Islamabad, 18..November, 2021

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2,

**Islamabad**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION  
OF WIDENING AND STRENGTHENING OF RAKHI GAJ-BEWATA  
PACKAGE-II (13.151 KM) OF N-70 (EAST WEST ROAD  
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT N-70)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Combined Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

**(Sami-Ur-Rehman)**  
General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Services)-P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision of Widening and Strengthening of Rakhi Gaj-Bewata Package-II (13.151 KM) of N-70 (East West Road Improvement Project N-70)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(533)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS443044E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	09 <sup>th</sup> March, 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	09 <sup>th</sup> March, 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Seven (07) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at <b>Annex-I</b>
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/SBD**/Policy/Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
1- M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.	626	159	785	45,491,622	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2- M/s Asif Ali Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s A.A. Associates and M/s HARZA Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	583	196	779	36,919,821	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3- M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s APEX Consulting Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd.	574	200	774	36,186,351	3 <sup>rd</sup>
4- M/s Associated Consulting Engineers – ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Service	593	175	768	41,370,069	4 <sup>th</sup>

Consultancy Services for Construction Supervision of Widening and Strengthening of Rakhi Gaj-Bewata Package-II (13.151 KM) of N-70 (East West Road Improvement Project N-70)

# EVALUATION REPORT


## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total (out of 1000)		
5- M/s Engineering General Consultants EGC (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Technical Resource Services (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s Logical Planning & Management Services	573	179	752	40,392,066	5 <sup>th</sup>
6- M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Latitude Engineering Consultants	450	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
7- M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s ECOS (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	450	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

*\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*


**Top Ranked Bidder: M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.**

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 53,517,698/-.


Signature:.....

Official Stamp:.....*General Manager (P&CA)*  
*National Highway Authority*

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION  
SUPERVISION OF WIDENING AND STRENGTHENING  
OF RAKHI GAJ-BEWATA PACKAGE-II (13.151 KM)  
OF N-70 (EAST WEST ROAD IMPROVEMENT  
PROJECT N-70)**

**November, 2021**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Jed', is located in the bottom left corner of the page.

**SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)**

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	250				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <u>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</u>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <u>Methodology</u>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. <u>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</u>	10				
2-e. <u>Work Program</u>	20				
2-f. <u>Staffing Schedule</u>	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel**</b>	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
<b>Construction Supervision Team:</b>	425				
a) Resident Engineer	150				
b) ARE (Highways)	100				
c) Material Engineer	100				
d) Quantity Surveyor	75				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients***</b>	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	50				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****</b>	50				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

\*Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

\*\*Firm affidavit for presence of personnel carries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

\*\*\*25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-

fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms). \*\*\*\*Criteria for New firm is, the one which has been registered within last six (06) years and which has carried out maximum three (03) projects in six (06) years. Methodology/plans for engaging the new firms as transfer of knowledge.



Say No to Corruption

**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 65%		Status with the Firm** 5% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b>Construction Supervision Team:</b>								
(a) Resident Engineer								
(b) ARE (Highways)								
(c) Material Engineer								
(d) Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%    Very good - 90-99%    Above Average - 80-89%    Average - 70-79%    Below Average - 1-69%  
 Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

- \* For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to Clause 5.6 of TOR.
- \*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%;  
 Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

