



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(581)/DIR/III/NHA/2022/97/

Islamabad, 17th November, 2022


Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design
for Construction of Service Road in the Jaranwala Area Along
with Motorway M-3 (36.26 KM)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Dy. Director Consultancy (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;






EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Service Road in the Jaranwala Area Along with Motorway M-3 (36.26 KM)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(581)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS485974E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	16 th August, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	16 th August, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Four (04) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) 80%	Financial Score (Sf) 20%	Total Score 100%		
1) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. In association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (sub-Consultant)	647	199	846	11,522,956	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (sub-Consultant)	641	200	841	11,486,925	2 nd
3) M/s Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	468	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
4) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s National Infrastructure	Non-Responsive	Financial Proposal not opened			PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)



3

EVALUATION REPORT


(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) 80%	Financial Score (Sf) 20%	Total Score 100%		
Engineering Services & Co. and M/s Axis Law (sub-Consultant)					

**EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. In association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. In association with M/s Concept Planning & Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 11,522,956/-

Signature: 

Official Stamp: 

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study
and Detailed Design for Construction of
Service Road in the Jaranwala Area Along
with Motorway M-3 (36.26 KM)**

November, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	160				
ii. Senior Structural Engineer	80				
iii. Junior Structural Engineer	40				
iv. Junior Highway Engineer	40				
v. Pavement Engineer	35				
vi. Transport Economist	40				
vii. Quantity Surveyor	30				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Feasibility Study & Detailed Design for Construction of Service Road in the Jaranwala Area along with Motorway M-3 (36.26 KM)



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Senior Structural Engineer								
iii. Junior Structural Engineer								
iv. Junior Highway Engineer								
v. Pavement Engineer								
vi. Transport Economist								
vii. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

