



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(535)/DIR-III/NHA/2022/ 976

Islamabad, 22nd November 2022


Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design
for Widening and Improvement of Lahore-Gujranwala Section
along with Interchange at Chand-Da-Qila and Addition of the
Third Lane on Gujranwala Bypass (75Km)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.


(Muhammad Ahmed Abro)
Director (Consultancy) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (MIS), NHA, Islamabad... **(to upload the same on NHA website as well)**

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Widening and Improvement of Lahore-Gujranwala Section along with Interchange at Chand-Da-Qila and Addition of the Third Lane on Gujranwala Bypass (75Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(535)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS444349E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	11 th March, 2021 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	11 th March, 2021 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score S _T (80%)	Financial Score F _S (20%)	Total (Out of 1000)		
1) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd, and M/s Global Engineering System	607	200	807	17,906,400	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd, in JV with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services.	598	185	783	19,403,260	2 nd
3) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s HARZA Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd	600	178	778	20,138,521	3 rd

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

4) M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Apex Consulting Engineering.	544	Financial Proposal not opened	PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
5) M/s SAMPAC International (Pvt.) Ltd	457	-do-	-do-

**EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purposes and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e., Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency, and Indirect Taxes.*

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd, and M/s Global Engineering System

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Center (Pvt.) Ltd, and M/s Global Engineering System at the evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs.21,010,429 /-.

Signature.....



Official Stamp.....

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Widening and Improvement of Lahore-Gujranwala Section along with Interchange at Chand-Da-Qila and Addition of the Third Lane on Gujranwala Bypass (75Km)

November 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm-1		Firm-2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal*	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel**	25				
(i) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	180				
(ii) Senior Structural Engineer	90				
(iii) Pavement Engineer	90				
(iv) Transport Economist	65				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting***	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)****	50				
TOTAL:	1000				



Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

* Conciseness and clarity contains 10 marks and 20 marks will be for the completeness of the proposals which includes but not limited to hard binding, sequential page numbering, signing and stamping of each page of proposal.

** Firm affidavit for presence of personnel carries 25 marks out of 450 marks (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-C placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

*** 25 out of 100 marks will be allocated for provision of affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner to the effect that the firm has neither been blacklisted nor any contract rescinded in the past for non-fulfillment of contractual obligations (complete in all respect as per specimen annexed at Annex-B placed in Technical Proposal Forms).

**** Criteria for New firm is, the one which has been registered within last six (06) years and which has carried out maximum three (03) projects in last six (06) years.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm** 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
(i) Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer								
(ii) Senior Structural Engineer								
(iii) Pavement Engineer								
(iv) Transport Economist								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

* For Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer, Senior Structural Engineer & Pavement Engineer: M.Sc. - 100%; B.Sc. - 70%.

For Transport Economics: MSc. Transport Economics/ Transportation Engineer / MSc. Economics with Diploma in Transport Economics-100%; B. Sc. Transportation Engineering/ M. Sc. Economics-70%

** 6 month older employee - 100%;
Less than 6 months or associates- 0%

