



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(567)/DIR (III)/NHA/2022/989

Islamabad, 23rd November, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY STUDY AND
DETAILED DESIGN FOR IMPROVEMENT & WIDENING OF (N-5)
(813 KM)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)

DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA) NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Improvement & Widening of (N-5) (813 Km)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(567)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS486136E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	5 th October, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	5 th October, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Seven (07) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd. In JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s BK (SMC-PVT.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	844	Technically Qualified
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Asif Ali Associates (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s A.A Associates and in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	824	Technically Qualified
3) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s Kasib Associates, and in association with M/s Z-Tech Engineering Solutions (Sub-Consultant).	783	Technically Qualified
4) M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Cameos Consultants and in association with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	756	Technically Qualified


↓


EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
5) M/s EA Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Techno Consult International, M/s Engineering General Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Actrow Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	744	Technically Qualified
6) M/s Osmani & Company (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultant, M/s Apex Consulting Engineers, and in association with M/s Karakoram Engineers (Sub-Consultant)	728	Technically Qualified
7) M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd. In JV with M/s Asian Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Engineering and Environmental Services (Sub-consultant)	605	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:**
 The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: 

Official Stamp: 

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR


Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study
and Detailed Design for Improvement &
Widening of (N-5) (813 Km)**

November, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Senior Highway Engineer	70				
ii. Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer-I	35				
iii. Senior Structural/ Bridge Engineer-II	35				
iv. Senior Highway Engineer-I	40				
v. Senior Highway Engineer-II	40				
vi. Junior Structure/ Bridge Engineer-I	20				
vii. Junior Structure/ Bridge Engineer-II	20				
viii. Junior Structure/ Bridge Engineer-III	20				
ix. Junior Structure/ Bridge Engineer-IV	20				
x. Junior Highway Engineer-I	20				
xi. Junior Highway Engineer-II	20				
xii. Junior Highway Engineer-III	20				
xiii. Junior Highway Engineer-IV	20				
xiv. Pavement & Drainage Engineer	25				
xv. Transport Economist	20				



Say No to Corruption

4. Performance Certification from clients	75			
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25			
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50			
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50			
TOTAL:	1000			

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

