



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(585)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/540

Islamabad, 03 October, 2022

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2,

**Islamabad**

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):**  
**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION OF REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY N-5 SECTION**

*Reference: PPRA Rule-35*

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Bid Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

*(Signature)*  
**(Sami-Ur-Rahman)**

General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Services)-P&CA, NHA, Islamabad.

# TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision of Rehabilitation and Improvement of National Highway N-5 Section
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(585)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS488408E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	12 <sup>th</sup> September, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	12 <sup>th</sup> September, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Ten (10) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Technical Bid Evaluation is attached at <b>Annex-I</b>
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	
	Technical Score	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1- M/s Associated Consulting Engineers-ACE Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Zeeruk International (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.	<b>815</b>	Technically Qualified
2- M/s Techno-Consult International (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Loya Associates and in association with M/s Tech-Professionals and Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	<b>740</b>	Technically Qualified
3- M/s PEAS Consulting (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s Exponent Engineers and in association with M/s Actrow Consultant (Sub-Consultant)	<b>726</b>	Technically Qualified
4- M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s AI Engineers Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd.	<b>723</b>	Technically Qualified
5- M/s Engineering General Consultants-EGC (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Indus Associated Consultants and in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.	<b>720</b>	Technically Qualified

Consultancy Services for Design Review and Construction Supervision of Rehabilitation and Improvement of National Highway N-5 Section



# TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Evaluation	
	Technical Score	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/Basis for Technical Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
6- M/s A.A Associates in JV with M/s Asif Ali & Associates (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services	711	Technically Qualified
7- M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s Osmani & Company (Pvt.) Ltd., M/s BK Consultant (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech	676	Dis-Qualified
8- M/s Republic Engineering Corporation (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s ABM Engineers., M/s ESS-I-AAR Planning, Engineering & services Consultants and in association with M/s origin Consulting Engineers	659	Dis-Qualified
9- M/s Umar Munshi Associates in JV with M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant, M/s Electra Consultants and in association with M/s National infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES)	610	Dis-Qualified
10- M/s Partners in Development - PID in JV with M/s Creative Engineering Consultants (CEC), M/s Global Consultants and M/s Spectra Engineering Solutions	484	Dis-Qualified

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature: 

Official Stamp: .....

\*Standard Bidding Document



# National Highway Authority

ISO 9001:2015 Certified



FRIENDLY HIGHWAYS

**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Technical Bid Evaluation**

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW AND  
CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION OF REHABILITATION  
AND IMPROVEMENT OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY N-5  
SECTION**

**October, 2022**

A handwritten signature in blue ink is located in the bottom left corner of the page. The signature is stylized and appears to be a name, possibly 'S. M. S.', followed by a period.



**SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)**

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. Methodology	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
<b>Review of Detailed Design (Phase-I):</b>	20				
a) Team Leader	10				
b) Highway Design /Pavement Engineer	10				
c) Structure / Bridge Engineer	10				
d) Highway Safety Engineer	10				
e) Soil/Geotechnical Engineer	10				
<b>Construction Supervision Team (Phase-II):</b>	80				
a) Team Leader	70				
b) Resident Engineer	2 x 50				
c) Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways)-I,II	25				
d) Contract Specialist	20				
e) Environmental Engineer	4x10				
f) Site Inspector-I,II,III,IV	20				
g) Material Engineer	10				
h) Quantity Surveyor	10				
<b>4. Performance Certification from Employers</b>	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	50				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	50				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%  
 Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60% for Design Review and 65% for Construction Supervision		Status with the Firm** 10% for Design Review and 05% for Construction Supervision		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)  (A+B+C)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
<b>Review of Detailed Design (Phase-I):</b>								
a) Team Leader								
b) Highway Design /Pavement Engineer								
c) Structure / Bridge Engineer								
d) Highway Safety Engineer								
e) Soil/Geotechnical Engineer								
<b>Construction Supervision Team (Phase-II):</b>								
a) Team Leader								
b) Resident Engineer								
c) Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways)-I,II								
d) Contract Specialist								
e) Environmental Engineer								
f) Site Inspector-I,II,III,IV								
g) Material Engineer								
h) Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%      Very good – 90-99%      Above Average – 80-89%      Average – 70-79%      Below Average – 1-69%      Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

\* For Qualification and Experience of above Key Personnel, refer to Appendix-BII of TOR.

\*\* 6 month's older employee - 100%; Less than 6 months or associates- 0% (For more than six months, salary account certificate duly attested from employer shall be attached with the proposal.)

For Team Leader, Highway Design/Pavement Engineer, Structure/Bridge Engineer, Highway Safety Engineer, Soil/Geotechnical Engineer, PhD.-100%; M.Sc with additional relevant Training from recognized institution-100 % M.Sc-90% B.Sc with additional relevant training from recognized institutions- 80% B.Sc. – 70%.

For, Resident Engineer, Assistant Resident Engineer (Highways), Contract Specialist, Environment Engineer, Material Engineer M.Sc-100% B.Sc with additional relevant Training from recognized organizations- 90% B.Sc. – 70%.

For Site inspector M.Sc-100% B.Sc- 70%

For Quantity Surveyor B.Sc-100% DAE (Civil)-70%

