



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section  
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(571)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/543

Islamabad, 03. October, 2022

## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):  
Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design  
for Construction of Umerkot Interchange on Lahore-Sialkot  
Motorway (LSM)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN)  
General Manager (P&CA)

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

# EVALUATION REPORT

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Umerkot Interchange on Lahore-Sialkot Motorway (LSM)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(571)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS484846E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	28 <sup>th</sup> July, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	28 <sup>th</sup> July, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd in JV With M/s ZK Enterprises (Sub Consultant)	793	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Associated Consulting Engineers – Ltd. in association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (NIES)	785	Technically Qualified
3) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant in association with M/s Creative Structural Engineering Solutions (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	779	Technically Qualified
4) M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd.	540	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
5) M/s Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	511	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:**  
The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:.....  General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp:.....  Islamabad

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Umerkot Interchange on Lahore-Sialkot Motorway (LSM)



# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study  
and Detailed Design for Construction of  
Umerkot Interchange on Lahore-Sialkot  
Motorway (LSM)**

**October, 2022**

A handwritten signature in blue ink is located in the bottom left corner of the page.

## SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
<b>2-a. Appreciation of the Project</b>	<b>70</b>				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
<b>2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</b>	<b>50</b>				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
<b>2-c. Methodology</b>	<b>80</b>				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
<b>2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</b>	<b>10</b>				
<b>2-e. Work Program</b>	<b>20</b>				
<b>2-f. Staffing Schedule</b>	<b>20</b>				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer	160				
ii. Structural Engineer	85				
iii. Pavement Engineer	40				
iv. Transport Economist	70				
v. Quantity Surveyor	70				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



**PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET**

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/ Highway Engineer								
ii. Structural Engineer								
iii. Pavement Engineer								
iv. Transport Economist								
v. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%    Very good – 90-99%    Above Average – 80-89%    Average – 70-79%    Below Average – 1-69%    Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

