



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section

28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(577)/GM (P&CA)/NHA/2022/ 542

Islamabad 03. October, 2022

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):
Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study & Detailed Design
For Construction Of Inter-District Bridge At River Chenab
Along With Link Roads From Jalalpur Pirwala (M-5
Interchange) To Shehr Sultan District Muzaffargarh
(21 Km Approx.)**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(SAMI-UR-RAHMAN)

General Manager (P&CA)

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (P&CA)-III, NHA, Islamabad.

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study & Detailed Design For Construction Of Inter-District Bridge At River Chenab Along With Link Roads From Jalalpur Pirwala (M-5 Interchange) To Shehr Sultan District Muzaffargarh (21 Km Approx.)
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(577)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS485378E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	22 nd August, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	22 nd August, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultant (Pvt.) Ltd in JV with M/s Associated Consulting Engineers ACE Ltd., M/s BK Consultants (SMC-PVT.) and in association with M/s Origin Consulting Engineers	808	Technically Qualified
2) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services	763	Technically Qualified
3) M/s AA Associates in association with M/s Global Engineering System	759	Technically Qualified
4) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Technical Resource Services and in association with M/s NGE Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	731	Technically Qualified

EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
5) M/s Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd.	413	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:**
The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature:.....

General Manager (P&CA)

Official Stamp:.....National Highway Authority,
Islamabad

**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).



National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR FEASIBILITY
STUDY & DETAILED DESIGN FOR
CONSTRUCTION OF INTER-DISTRICT BRIDGE
AT RIVER CHENAB ALONG WITH LINK ROADS
FROM JALALPUR PIRWALA (M-5
INTERCHANGE) TO SHEHR SULTAN DISTRICT
MUZZAFFARGARH (21 KM APPROX.)**

October, 2022

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/ Senior Structure Engineer	185				
ii. Structural/ Bridge Engineer	110				
iii. Pavement Engineer	50				
iv. Geometric/ Highway Engineer	80				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Team Leader/ Senior Structure Engineer								
ii. Structural/ Bridge Engineer								
iii. Pavement Engineer								
iv. Geometric/ Highway Engineer								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good – 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

9

