# Evaluation Report (As per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1) Name of Procuring Agency: Ministry of Human Rights, Islamabad

2) Method of Procurement: Open Competitive Bidding

Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

3) Title of Procurement: Supply of I.T Equipment, Machinery & Furniture
 4) Tender Inquiry Number: PID(I)/5884/20

5) PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS456395E

6) Date & Time of Closing: 12-08-2021 at 02:00 PM
7) Date & Time of Opening: 12-08-2021 at 03:00 PM
8) No. of Bids Received: 13 (Thirteen No. of Bidders)

9) Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Terms & Conditions mentioned in the Bidding Document

10) Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Comparative Statement as under

N .	Technic	LOT ‡ al & Financial E	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic for			
Name of Bidder	0 1161 17	М	arks	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)				of 11 Rules, 2002
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
Mega Plus	Qualified	65	21.95	86.95	831,300	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
Comstar	Qualified	54	30	84	608,197	2nd lowest evaluated responsive bid.
InTech Systems (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	56	23.89	79.89	763,673	3rd lowest evaluated responsive bid.
National Engineers	Qualified	63	12.63	75.63	1,445,072	4th lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	11.66	74.66	1,565,024	5th lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Carbon8 (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	10.83	73.83	1,684,840	6th lowest evaluated responsive bid.
AS SoftLayer Technologies	Qualified	55	15.87	70.87	1,150,000	7th lowest evaluated responsive bid.
EGS	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	PPRA Rule-36 b (v): Rejected as the bidder quoted Firewall, without required specifications.

A\* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (towest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 1: Mega Plus, Islamabad

ABDUL SATI

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

Acting PD (tTRIMS)
ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN
System and Data Analyst (HRIMS)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

1 mnh 6/021.

. 36771

Name of	Technica	LOT # l & Financial E	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic for			
Bidder	Omalific I/Net	Ma	arks		Forder 1	Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35
	Qualified/Not Qualified Technical Financial (if applicable) (if applicable)	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	of PP Rules, 2004.		
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
National Engineers	Qualified	68	30	98	333,506	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
Carbon8 (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	21.16	89.16	472,836	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	13.27	81.27	754,011	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A\* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.

C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

		LOT #				
Name of	Technica	l & Financial Ev	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Ba			
Bidders	Qualified/	Ma	rks	Total Marks	Evaluated	sic for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Not Qualified Technical (if applicable) Financial (out of 100) Cost Rs.	per Rule 33 of FF Rules, 2004.				
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
National Engineers	Qualified	63	30	93	1,840,604	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
Carbon8 (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	22.92	85.92	2,409,212	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	12.97	75.97	4,256,667	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

ABDUL SAT (Dev)

Director General (Dev)

Ministry of Human

Ministry Islamabad

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

o Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100. C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 2: National Engineers, Islamabad

4-10:00 ACTING PD (HRIMS) ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN System and Data Analyst (HRIMS) Ministry of Human Rights Islamabad

2

Name of	Technical	LO & Financial Eva	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders	Qualified/ Not		irks	Total Marks	Evaluated	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	(out of 100)	Cost Rs.	
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	30.00	98.00	157,714	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
National Engineers	Qualified	68	22.17	90.17	213,442	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Carbon8 (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	16.11	84.11	293,768	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	14.67	82.67	322,508	4th lowest evaluated responsive bid.
AS Softlayer Technologies	Qualified	68	13.52	81.52	350,000	5th lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

# Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 3: AYK (Pvt.) Ltd, Islamabad

Name of	Technical	LOT # 4: F & Financial Eva	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders	Qualified/		ırks	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Not Qualified	Qualified Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)		Cost Rs.	
		Λ*	B**	A+B		C***
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	30	93	587,503	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
EGS	Qualified	63	20.12	83.12	876,093	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
AS Softlayer Technologies	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	PPRA Rule-36 b (v): Rejected as the bidder quoted cable which is not fulfill, required specifications.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \*0.30 \* 100.

C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (I) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 4: AYK (Pvt.) Ltd, Islamabad

3

A CTING PD (WRIMS)
ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN
System and Data Analyst (HRIMS)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
vistry of Human Rights

Islamabad

	Technic	LO al & Financial Ev	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Name of Bidder		Ma	rks		Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per
Diudei	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total Marks (out of 100)		Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
Mega Plus	Qualified	68	30	98	2,312,000	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
InTech Systems (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	25.22	93.22	2,750,000	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
319 Solution	Qualified	68	23.25	91.25	2,983,500	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	. 68	18.11	86.11	3,830,470	4 <sup>th</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

### Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 6: Mega Plus, Islamabad

Name of	Technica	LOT # 7: 1 & Financial Ev	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders	Qualified/	Ma	ırks	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)			
		Λ*	B**	A+B		
InTech Systems (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	68	30	98	1,046,400	PPRA Rule-38B (d): Accepted being single/ most advantageous evaluated bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT #7: InTech Systems (Pvt.) Ltd, Islamabad

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

المساوية

ACTING PP (WEIMS)
ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN
System and Data Analyst (HRIMS)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

B \*\* Financial scores.

The weights given to the Technical ( $\Gamma$ ) and Financial ( $\Gamma$ ) proposals are T = 70% and  $\Gamma = 30\%$ .

<sup>(</sup>A+B) Combined Technical and Financial scores.

Name of	Techni	LOT # 9: Vi	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidder	Qualified/	Ma	arks			for Rejection / Acceptance as per
	Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AYK (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	63	30	93	204,750	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
Mega Plus	Qualified	68	19.81	87.81	310,000	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
AS Softlayer Technologies	Qualified	68	15.47	83.47	397,000	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Nasco Traders	Qualified	53	27.3	80.3	225,000	4th lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

# Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 9: AYK (Pvt.) Ltd, Islamabad

N	Technical	LOT #	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Bas			
Name of Bidders	O11611/N1	Ma	ırks	T-1-13/1-	F-1-1-1	ic for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	per Kuie 35 of FF Kuies, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
Mega Plus	Qualified	68	30	98	712,605	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
AS Softlayer Technologies	Disqualified	Nil	Financial Bid was not opened. PPRA Rule 36(b)(viii)	Nil	Nil	PPRA Rule-36 b (v): Rejected as the bidder quoted DATA RACK, without required specifications as: - NOT SNMP enabled: SNMP not supported, servers cannot be monitored.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 11: Mega Plus, Islamabad

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

عب ان م

ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN
System and Data Analyst (HRIMS)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Name of	Technical	LOT # 1	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders		Ma	rks			for Rejection / Acceptance as per
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Out of 1001   Cost Rs.		Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.	
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
ACME Solutions	Qualified	68	30	98	73,710	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
AS SoftLayer Technologies	Qualified	68	21.14	89.14	104,580	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

#### Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 12: ACME Solutions, Islamabad

Name of	Technical	LOT #	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders	Qualified/ Not	Ma	irks	T	Marks Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	(out of 100)		
		A*	B**	A+B		C***
AS SoftLayer Technologies	Qualified	68	30	98	197,400	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
ACME Solutions	Qualified	68	25.56	93.56	231,660	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 13: AS SoftLayer Technologies, Islamabad

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

A CTING PD (HRIMS)

ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN

System and Data Analyst (HRIMS)

Ministry of Human Rights

Islamabad

6

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

• Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.

C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

o The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

o The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Name of	Technica	LOT al & Financial Ev	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidders Oualified/	Oualified/	Ma	rks	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)			
		A*	B**	A+B		
ACME Solutions	Qualified	68	30	98	421,200	PPRA Rule-38B (d): Accepted

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Name of Bidders	Technica	LOT # 15	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Marks		Total Marks	Evaluated	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	(out of 100)	Cost Rs.	
		A*	B**	A+B		
ACME Solutions	Qualified	63	30	93	142,155	PPRA Rule-38B (d): Accepted

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

<sup>(</sup>A+B) Combined Technical and Financial scores.

Name of Bidders	Technica	LOT # 16: Ir l & Financial Ev	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Marks			F 1	for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 55 of 11 Rules, 2002
		A*	B**	A+B		
Nasco Traders	Qualified	63	30	90	400,000	PPRA Rule-38B (d): Accepted

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

ACTING PD (HRIMS)

ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN

System and Data Analyst (HRIMS)

Ministry of Human Rights

Islamabad

7

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

B \*\* Financial scores.

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

<sup>(</sup>A+B) Combined Technical and Financial scores.

B \*\* Financial scores.

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30%.

B \*\* Financial scores.

The weights given to the Technical ( $\Gamma$ ) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

<sup>(</sup>A+B) Combined Technical and Financial scores.

Name of	Technica	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy /Basic for Rejection/				
Bidders	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Marks		Total Marks	Evaluated	Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		- Technical	Financial (if applicable)	(out of 100)	Cost Rs.	FF Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		
AS SoftLayer Technologies	Qualified	70	30	100	185,000	PPRA Rule-38B (d): Accepted

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Name of	Technic	LOT # 18 al & Financial Ev	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Bidder	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Marks				for Rejection / Acceptance as per
3.23.33.		Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Total Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		C###
MegaPlus	Qualified	70	30	100	433,602	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
DWP Technologies (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	22	92	591,160	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	17.92	87.92	725,720	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 18: MegaPlus, Islamabad

ABDUL SATTAR
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human Rights
Islamabad

11-20 Cies ACTING PD (HRIMS)

ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN System and Data Analyst (HRIMS) Ministry of Human Rights Islamabad

B \*\* Financial scores.

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

<sup>(</sup>A+B) Combined Technical and Financial scores.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.
 C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

The weights given to the Technical (I) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Name of	Technic	LOT # 1	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy /Basic for Rejection/			
Bidders	Qualified/ Not Qualified	Marks		Total Marks	Evaluated	Acceptance as per Rule 35 of
		d Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	(out of 100)	Cost Rs.	PP Rules, 2004.
		A*	B**	A+B		
Techaccess (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	30	100	481,631	PPRA Rule-38B (d): Accepted

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

N	Technica	LOT # 20: I & Financial Eva	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basic			
Name of Bidder	Qualified/ Marks			Total		for Rejection / Acceptance as per
Didder	Not Qualified	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Marks (out of 100)	Evaluated Cost Rs.	Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
9		A*	B**	A+B		C***
MegaPlus	Qualified	70	30	100	546,975	PPRA Rule-36 b (ix): Accepted being 1st lowest/most advantageous evaluated bit.
DWP Technologies (Pvt.) Ltd	Qualified	70	18.86	88.86	870,023	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest evaluated responsive bid.

A \* Total Technical marks are 70. Passing Score at Technical stage is 50.

Most Advantageous Bidder in LOT # 20: MegaPlus, Islamabad

ABDUK SAT (Dev)
Director General (Dev)
Ministry of Human
Ninistry
Islamabad

ACTING PD (HRIMS) ISHTIAQ HUSSAIN System and Data Analyst (HRIMS) Ministry of Human Rights Islamabad

B \*\* Financial scores.

The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

<sup>(</sup>A+B) Combined Technical and Financial scores.

B \*\* The formula for determining the financial scores of all other proposals is calculated as following

Financial Marks = (lowest price / price of the proposal under consideration) \* 0.30 \* 100.

C \*\*\* Proposals are ranked according to their combined Technical and Financial scores (A+B).

• The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (F) proposals are T = 70% and F = 30 %.

Mr. Abdur Sattar Director General (Dev.) Chairman Mr. Shahzad Ahmed Director (IC) Member Mr. Faroog Ahmed Director (HR) Member

Mr. Gul Zaman
Deputy Secretary (B&C)
Member

Mr. Arif Shamim
Deputy Secretary (Admn)
Member

Mr. Ishtiaq Hussain Director (HRIMS) Member/ Secretary

Mr. Awais Abbasi
IT Expert (Helpline)
Member

Mr. Asid Hussam Shah Section Officer (General) Member