



# NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section  
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(589)/DIR (P&CA)/NHA/2023/13

Islamabad, 2nd January 2023


## Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,  
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):**  
**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Tanawal Interchange on Hazara Motorway at Village Potha, District Mansehra**

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

  
(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)  
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

**Encl:** Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

### **Copy for kind information to:**

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;
- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;



# **FINAL EVALUATION REPORT**

## **(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)**

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Construction of Tanawal Interchange on Hazara Motorway at Village Potha, District Mansehra
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(589)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS490023E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	11 <sup>th</sup> October, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	11 <sup>th</sup> October, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd, JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant & in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	652.8	173.5	826.3	9,795,380	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s PAVRON in JV with M/s Cameos Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s National Infrastructure Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	608.8	200	808.8	8,496,394	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3) M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s ZTECH Engineering Solutions (S-C)	624	170.2	794.2	9,986,359	3 <sup>rd</sup>

# FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
4) M/s Associated Consultancy Centre (Pvt.) Ltd. with M/s Technical Associates (Sub Consultant)	623.2	163.4	786.6	10,400,034	4 <sup>th</sup>
5) M/s Asif Ali & Associates in JV with M/s AA Associates and in association with M/s Concept Planning and Engineering Services (Sub-Consultant)	598.4	179.3	777.7	9,477,320	5 <sup>th</sup>

*\*EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

**Top Ranked Bidder:** M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd, JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant and in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant) at the rebated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 9,795,380/-.

Signature:.....

Official Stamp:.....

**\*\*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

# National Highway Authority



**Annex-I**

**Criteria**

*FOR*

**Bid Evaluation**

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study  
and Detailed Design for Construction of  
Tanawal Interchange on Hazara Motorway  
at Village Potha, District Mansehra**

**January, 2023**

**SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)**

<b>1. Firms Experience</b>	<b>100</b>				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
<b>2. Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>250</b>				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
<b>3. Key Personnel</b>	<b>450</b>				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer	130				
ii. Structural Engineer	110				
iii. Pavement Engineer	85				
iv. Transport Economist	60				
v. Quantity Surveyor	40				
<b>4. Performance Certification from clients</b>	<b>75</b>				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
<b>5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)</b>	<b>50</b>				
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>1000</b>				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0%,  
 Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.



The Key professional Staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/available for the assignment/associates/part time available for the assignment, in such cases status with the firm marks shall not be allocated.

## PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

ROSHIDIN WELAHI EXPERT								
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
i. Team Leader/Senior Highway Engineer								
ii. Structural Engineer								
iii. Pavement Engineer								
iv. Transport Economist								
v. Quantity Surveyor								

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99%

Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69% Not-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

