



NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section
28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(593)/DIR-III/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/ 57

Islamabad, 25th January, 2023

Director General

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank,
Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject: **ANNOUNCEMENT OF FINAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA RULE-35):**
Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed Design For Addition Of An Extra Lane On Each Side Of Approach Roads For Bridge Over River Indus At Head Muhammad Wala In Distt Multan

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Final Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

(MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO)
DIRECTOR (CONSULTANCY) P&CA

Encl: Evaluation Report along with **Annex- I**

Copy for kind information to:

- Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;
- General Manager (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad;

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services For Feasibility Study And Detailed Design For Addition Of An Extra Lane On Each Side Of Approach Roads For Bridge Over River Indus At Head Muhammad Wala In Distt Multan
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(593)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS491569E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	3 rd November, 2022 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	3 rd November, 2022 at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Two (02) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

Name of Bidder	Marks			Evaluated Cost (EC)* (PKR)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical Score (St) (80%)	Financial Score (Sf) (20 %)	Total Score (100%)		
1) M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV With M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant)	621	200	821	11,926,850	Top scoring firm in combined evaluation (PPRA Rule 36(b) (ix))
2) M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s BK Consultants (SMC-Pvt) Ltd. and in association with M/s Power Aim Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd. (Sub-Consultant)	651	118	769	20,218,828	2 nd

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

**EC is the Evaluated Cost used for evaluation purpose and includes only the cost of competitive component (i.e. Remuneration and Direct Non-Salary Cost) and is exclusive of Provisional Sum, Contingency and Indirect Taxes.*

Top Ranked Bidder: M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV With M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant)

11. **Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share:** The Procurement was carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations. The bidding was done on QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio. The Contract is being awarded to M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s Prime Engineering & Testing Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Pakistan Engineering Tech. (Sub-Consultant) at evaluated financial proposal of Pak. Rs. 11,926,850/-.

Signature:.....

MUHAMMAD AHMED ABRO
DIRECTOR (P&CA)

Official Stamp.....
National Highway Authority
Islamabad

****Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

National Highway Authority



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

Bid Evaluation

**Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study
and Detailed Design for Addition of an Extra
Lane on Each Side of Approach Roads for
Bridge Over River Indus at Head
Muhammad Wala in Distt Multan**

January, 2023

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSALS (QCBS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA	Max. Weightage	Firm 1		Firm 2	
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				
2. Approach and Methodology	250				
2-a. <i>Appreciation of the Project</i>	70				
(i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs	(30)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation	(20)				
(iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. <i>Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives</i>	50				
(i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	(20)				
2-c. <i>Methodology</i>	80				
(i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology	(20)				
(iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. <i>Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR</i>	10				
2-e. <i>Work Program</i>	20				
2-f. <i>Staffing Schedule</i>	20				
3. Key Personnel	450				
Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25				
i. Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)	120				
ii. Senior Structural Engineer	70				
iii. Senior Pavement Engineer	60				
iv. Transport Economist	40				
v. Coordination Engineer	60				
vi. Junior Highway Engineer	40				
vii. Junior Structural Engineer	20				
viii. Junior Pavement Engineer	15				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	50				
TOTAL:	1000				

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying – 0%,

Score: Maximum Weightage rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

Consultancy Services for Feasibility Study and Detailed Design for Addition of an Extra Lane on Each Side of Approach Roads for Bridge Over River Chenab at Head Muhammad Wala in District Multan

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 60%		Status with the Firm* 10%		OVERALL RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)								
i. Senior Highway Engineer (Team Leader)								
ii. Senior Structural Engineer								
iii. Senior Pavement Engineer								
iv. Transport Economist								
v. Coordination Engineer								
vi. Junior Highway Engineer								
vii. Junior Structural Engineer								
viii. Junior Pavement Engineer								

Rating: - Excellent - 100% Very good – 90-99% Above Average – 80-89% Average – 70-79% Below Average – 1-69% Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70%.

*The Key Professional Staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (6) months/ available for the assignment/ associate/s/ part time available for the assignment, in such cases status with the firm marks shall not be allocated.

