PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA - I

TO BE FILLED AND UPLOADED ON PPRA WEBSITE IN RESPECT OF ALL PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH RS 50 MILLION OR MORE

1. NAME OF THE

: DGP (ARMY) RAWALPINDI

2.

ORGANIZATION/DEPTT FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT

: FEDERAL GOVT

3.

TITLE OF CONTRACT

: PROCUREMENT OF 260 METRIC TONS

BRANDED TEA (TAPAL TEZDUM)

(SOUTH ZONE)

TENDER NUMBER 4.

: 21-0626-4-1

5. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : CONTRACT FOR BRANDED TEA (TAPAL TEZDUM) WAS REQUIRED TO BE CONCLUDED FOR ARMY TROOPS AND AWARDED TO LOWEST QUOTEE FIRM @

RS 462,750.00 PER METRIC TON,

TENDER VALUE 6.

: RS 120.315 MILLION (RS 120,315,000.00)

7. ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (FOR CIVIL WORKS ONLY)

: NOT APPLICABLE

8.

ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD : JUL 2014 TO APR 2015

WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS: YES 9.

INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

10. ADVERTISEMENT:-

PPRA WEBSITE (FEDERAL AGENCIES) (IF YES GIVE DATE

AND PPRA'S TENDE NUMBER)

: 24 FEB 2014

NEWS PAPERS (IF YES GIVE DATE AND PPRA'S TENDE

NUMBER)

: 23 FEB 2014

11. TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)

12 MAR 2014 AT 1030 HOURS / 09 MAY

: 06 (LIST OF BUYERS ATTACHED)

2014 AT 1100 HOURS

(TECHENCIAL OFFER / COMMERCIAL

OFFER)

NATURE OF PURCHASE (LOCAL/INTERNATIONAL) : LOCAL

13. EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (IF ANY)

: NO

14. NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT

SOLD (ATTACH IST OF BUYERS)

WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS (IF YES ENCOSE COPY)

: YES

16. WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS (IF YES ENCLOSE COPY) 17. WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT : TICK ONE WAS USED a. SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b. SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c. TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d. TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE - 18. PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER NO METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.) 19. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY CHIEF OF LOGISTICS STAFF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS : YES SIGNED						
b. SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE c. TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d. TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE – 18. PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER: NO METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.) 19. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY: CHIEF OF LOGISTICS STAFF NOT APPLICABLE 20. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL STESSED STAFE STESSED STAFE STESSED STAFE		CR BIE (IF	TETHER BID EVALUATION ITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN DDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS YES ENCLOSE COPY) HICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT AS USED	TIC	CK ONE	•
c. TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d. TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE - 18. PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER NO METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.) 19. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY CHIEF OF LOGISTICS STAFF 20. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED DESCRIPTION OF SIDDING SECEIVED SECIIVED SECEIVED SECEIVED SECEIVED SECEIVED SECEIVED SECEIVED SECEI		a.				
d. TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE - 18. PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.) 19. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY CHIEF OF LOGISTICS STAFF 20. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS YES		b.	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE	PRO	OCEDURE -	- V
18. PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.) 19. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY 20. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS YES		C.				
METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.) 19. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY 20. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS YES		d.	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BI	DDIN	NG PROCEDURE -	
COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING 21. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS : YES		M A E	ETHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS DOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (I.E MERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, EGOTIATED TENDERIG ETC.)	: <u>C</u>	HIEF OF LOGISTICS STA	<u>\FF</u>
22. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS YES	2		COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE	: <u>N</u>	<u>OT APPLICABLE</u>	
BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER 23. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS YES	2	1. N	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED			
	2	· E	BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER			
	2			: \	<u>(ES</u>	

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA - II

TO BE FILLED AND UPLOADED ON PPRA WEBSITE IN RESPECT OF ALL PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH RS 50 **MILLION OR MORE**

NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE : 02 1. TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE M/S TAPAL TEA (PRIVATE) 2. LIMITED, PLOT NO 40, SECTOR SUCCESSFUL BIDDER

> 15, KORANGI INDUSTRIAL

AREA, KARACHI

RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 3. : IST LOWEST QUOTEE I.E M/S EVALUATION REPORT (I,E. 1ST, 2ND, 3RD TAPAL TEA (PRIVATE) LIMITED **EVALUATED BID)**

KARACHI

4. NEED ANALYSIS (WHY THE PROCUREMENT WAS NECESSARY?) CONTRACT FOR BRANDED TEA (TAPAL TEZDUM) FOR (SOUTH ZONE) WAS REQUIRED TO BE CONCLUDED FOR ARMY

TROOPS.

IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE)

: NOT APPLICABLE

WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND : YES 6. THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING 7. (ATTACH A COPY OF AGREEMENT)

: 28 MAY 2014

CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 8.

: Rs 462,750.00 PER METRIC TON

WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION 9. REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS

: NOT APPLICABLE

10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED

: NIL : NIL

11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS

12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

: NIL

13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY

: NIL