PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA - I

TO BE FILLED AND UPLOADED ON PPRA WEBSITE IN RESPECT OF ALL PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH RS 50 MILLION OR MORE

1. NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT : DGP (ARMY) RAWALPINDI

2. FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT : FEDERAL GOVT

3. TITLE OF CONTRACT : PROCUREMENT OF BRANDED

COOKING OIL (REFINED) QUANTITY

1905 METRIC TONS

4. TENDER NUMBER : <u>23-0745-1-2</u>

5. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : CONTRACT FOR BRANDED COOKING

OIL (REFINED) WAS REQUIRED TO BE CONCLUDED FOR ARMY TROOPS AND AWARDED TO LOWEST QUOTEE FIRM

@ RS 138,000/- PER METRIC TON

6. TENDER/CONTRACT VALUE : OPEN / RS 262.890 MILLIONS

7. ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (FOR CIVIL : NOT APPLICABLE

WORKS ONLY)

8. ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD : MARCH 2015 TO JUNE 2015

9. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS : YES

INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT

PLAN?

10. ADVERTISEMENT: -

a. PPRA WEBSITE (FEDERAL : www.ppra.org.pk

AGENCIES)

b. NEWS PAPERS : 30 NOV 2014 (Nawa-e-Waqt)

11. TENDER OPENED ON : 18 FEB 2015 AT 1030 HRS

12. NATURE OF PURCHASE : LOCAL (LOCAL/INTERNATIONAL)

13. EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (IF ANY) : NIL

14. NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT : 15 (LIST OF BUYERS ATTACHED)

SOLD

15. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA : YES

WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER

DOCUMENT

16. WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA : NOT APPLICABLE WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER

DOCUMENTS

17.		CH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED		: TICK ONE
	a.,	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE	D	PROCEDURE -
	b.	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE	ΕP	ROCEDURE -
	C.	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDUR	RĘ	
	d.	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE B	IDE	DING PROCEDURE -
18.	WHC	IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY		CHIEF OF ARMY STAFF
19.	COM	THER APPROVAL OF IPETENT AUTHORITY WAS AINED FOR USING A METHOD ER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE DING	•	NOT APPLICABLE
20.	NUM	BER OF BIDS RECEIVED	•	<u>07</u>
21.		THER THE SUCCESSFUL DER WAS LOWEST BIDDER	•	YES, 1ST LOWEST BIDDERS QUOTED ITS RATES FOR 100% QUANTITY OF SOUTH ZONE AND CONTRACT AWARDED TO THE FIRM ACCORDINGLY.
22.	WHE	THER INTEGRITY PACT WAS	•	YES

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA) CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA – II

TO BE FILLED AND UPLOADED ON PPRA WEBSITE IN RESPECT OF ALL PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH RS 50 MILLION OR MORE

1. NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 2. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDERS 3. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER EVALUATION REPORT (i.e 1 ST, 2 ND, 3 ND EVALUATED BID) 4. NEED ANALYSIS (WHY THE PROCUREMENT WAS NECESSARY?) 5. IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE) 6. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 7. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING 8. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY 13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY 15. IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME. WHAT WERE THE REASONS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE) 16. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 17. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING 18. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 19. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA 13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY 14. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SIDDERS AND THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 15. IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESOURCE OF THE BIDDERS AND THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 16. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 17. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING 18. NOT APPLICABLE 19. NOT APPLICABLE 19. NOT APPLICABLE 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA 13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY 14. NIL								
SUCCESSFUL BIDDERS PRIVATE) LIMITED, GATE NO.4, UNIVERISTY OF FAISALABAD RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER EVALUATION REPORT (i.e 1 ST, 2 ND, 3 ND EVALUATED BID) Mis FAISALABAD OIL REFINERY OF FAINSALABAD WAS ARGODHA ROAD, FAISALABAD WAS SARGODHA ROAD, FAISALABAD OIL REFINERY (PRIVATE LIMITED GATE NO.4, UNIVERISTY OF FAISALABAD WAS SARGODHA ROAD, FAISALABAD WAS SA	1.	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	•	<u>06</u>				
EVALUATION REPORT (i.e 1ST, 2MD, 3RD EVALUATION REPORT (i.e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	2.		•	(PRIVATE) LIMITED, GATE NO.4, UNIVERISTY OF FAISALABAD,				
PROCUREMENT WAS NECESSARY?) PROCUREMENT WAS NECESSARY?) A RATION ITEM AND PROCURED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENT OF TROOPS. IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Report GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA NIL RATION STEM AND PROCURED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENT OF TROOPS. NOT APPLICABLE NIL NIL NIL NIL	3.	EVALUATION REPORT (i.e 1 ST , 2 ND , 3 RD		(PRIVATE) LIMITED GATE NO.4, UNIVERISTY OF FAINSALABAD SARGODHA ROAD, FAISALABAD WAS 1ST LOWEST QUOTEE FIRM AND QUOTED FOR 100% QTY OF SOUTH ZONE AND CONTRACT AWARDED				
RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE) 6. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 7. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING 8. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION : NIL 13. NIL 14. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION : NIL 15. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION : NIL	4.			A RATION ITEM AND PROCURED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENT OF				
AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 7. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING : 31 MARCH 2015 8. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE : Rs 138,000.00 PER METRIC TON 9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED : NIL 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION : NIL 13. CRITERIA : NIL	5.	RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE	0 0	NOT APPLICABLE				
8. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE : Rs 138,000.00 PER METRIC TON 9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED : NIL 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA : NIL	6.	AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT	•	YES				
9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED : NIL 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA : NIL	7.	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING		31 MARCH 2015				
REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS 10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED : NIL 11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA : NIL	8.	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE		Rs 138,000.00 PER METRIC TON				
11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA NIL NIL	9.		8	NOT APPLICABLE				
SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS 12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA NIL NIL	10.	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	•	<u>NIL</u>				
CRITERIA	11.	SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE	•	<u>NIL</u>				
13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY : NIL	12.		•	<u>NIL</u>				
	13.	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY		NIL				