### PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

#### CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA - I

## TO BE FILLED AND UPLOADED ON PPRA WEBSITE IN RESPECT OF ALL PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH RS 50 MILLION OR MORE

1. NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT

DGP (ARMY) RAWALPINDI

FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT 2.

FEDERAL GOVT

3. TITLE OF CONTRACT

PROCUREMENT OF SUGAR (NORTH

ZONE) QUANTITY 10500 METRIC TONS

4. TENDER NUMBER

21-0596-4-1

5. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT

CONTRACT FOR SUGAR (NORTH ZONE) WAS REQUIRED TO BE CONCLUDED

FOR ARMY TROOPS AND AWARDED TO LOWEST QUOTEE FIRM @ RS 54,500.00

PER METRIC TON.

6. **TENDER/CONTRACT VALUE** 

RS 572.250 MILLION (RS 572.250.000.00)

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (FOR CIVIL 7. WORKS ONLY)

NOT APPLICABLE

8. ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD

JAN TO MAR 2013

9 WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT

YES

PLAN?

ADVERTISEMENT:-10.

> PPRA WEBSITE (FEDERAL AGENCIES)

01 OCT 2012

**NEWS PAPERS** b.

NATION AND NAWA-E-WAGAT

BOTH DATED 30 SEP 2012

11. TENDER OPENED ON

18 OCT 2012

12. NATURE OF PURCHASE (LOCAL/INTERNATIONAL)

LOCAL

13. EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (IF ANY)

NIL

14. NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD

14 (LIST OF BUYERS ATTACHED)

WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA **15**. WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT

YES.

16. WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER

NOT APPLICABLE

DOCUMENTS

#### ATTACHMENT - II

## PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA)

### **CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA - II**

# TO BE FILLED AND UPLOADED ON PPRA WEBSITE IN RESPECT OF ALL PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH RS 50 MILLION OR MORE

NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE : 05
 TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

2. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER : M/S SUPPLY PRO, HOUSE 45 STREET 20-B ORCHARD AREA, SECTOR B.

DHA-1, ISLAMABAD, RAWALPINDI

3. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER STATE IST LOWEST QUOTEE LE M/S SUPPLY EVALUATION REPORT (I,E, 1<sup>ST</sup>, 2<sup>ND</sup>, 3<sup>RD</sup> PRO ISLD EVALUATED BID)

4. NEED ANALYSIS (WHY THE CONTRACT FOR SUGAR WAS PROCUREMENT WAS NECESSARY?)

REQUIRED TO BE CONCLUDED FOR

HUMAN CONSUMPTION

5. IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN : NOT APPLICABLE RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE

REASONS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE)

WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

<u>YES</u>

7. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING : 20 DEC 2012

8. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE : Rs 54.500.00 PER METRIC TON

9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION : NOT APPLICABLE REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS

10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED : NIL

11. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS : NIL GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/

**DOCUMENTS** 

12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION : NIL

CRITERIA

6.

13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY : NIL

17:	WHICH METHOD OF	PROCUREMENT		•.	TICK ONE
	WAS USED	Activity of a sample of seasons with the seasons of the	51	$\{\phi_{ij}^{(i)}\}$	

<b>a</b> .	SINGLE STAGE	- ONE	ENVELOPED	PROCEDURE
		•		

			•
b.	SINGLE STAGE -	TWO ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE

C.	TWO STAGE	<b>BIDDING</b>	PROCEDURE
----	-----------	----------------	-----------

TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

d.

	· ·	

- 18. WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY COAS NOT APPLICABLE WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT 19.
- AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING

#### NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED YES WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST BIDDER

- INTEGRITY WAS : YES PACT WHETHER 22.
- SIGNED