

### NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY

Procurement & Contract Administration Section 28-Mauve Area, G-9/1, Islamabad Tel: 9032727, Fax: 9260419

No. 6(563-C)/GM/(P&CA)/NHA/2023/332

Islamabad, **2/**..June, 2023

#### **Director General**

Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 1st Floor FBC Building near State Bank, Sector G-5/2, Islamabad

Subject:

ANNOUNCEMENT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT (PPRA

**RULE-35):** 

Consultancy Services to Carry out Third Party Validation on

**NHA Projects** 

Reference: PPRA Rule-35

Find enclosed herewith the Technical Evaluation Report along with Evaluation Criteria (Annex-I) for the subject Services in line with PPRA Rule-35 for uploading on PPRA website at the earliest, please.

> (SAMI-UR-RAHMAN) General Manager (P&CA)

### Distribution:

Encl: Evaluation Report along with Annex- I

#### Copy to:

Member (Planning), NHA, Islamabad;

- Director (Tech. to Chairman), NHA, Islamabad;

- Dy. Director (P&CA), NHA, Islamabad.

# **EVALUATION REPORT**

## (As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	National Highway Authority
2.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3.	Title of Procurement:	Consultancy Services to Carry out Third Party Validation on NHA Projects
4.	Tender Inquiry No.:	6(563-C)
5.	PPRA Ref. No. (TSE):	TS499041E
6.	Date & Time of Bid Closing:	19 <sup>th</sup> January, 2023 at 1130 hours local time
7.	Date & Time of Bid Opening:	19 <sup>th</sup> January at 1200 hours local time
8.	No of Bids Received:	Five (05) Proposals were received
9.	Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Criteria of Bid Evaluation is attached at Annex-I
10.	Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:	As below

	Name of Bidder	Technical Score (out of 1000)	Rule/Regulation/ SBD**/Policy/ Basis for Rejection / Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
1)	M/s NESPAK (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s HARZA Consultants (SMC-Pvt.) Ltd.	763	Technically Qualified
2)	M/s Finite Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. and in association with M/s Effective Engineering Expertise (Pvt.) Ltd.	732	Technically Qualified
3)	M/s Rehman Habib Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd. in JV with M/s EASE PAK Engineering services (Pvt.) Ltd. and M/s KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co.	704	Technically Qualified
4)	M/s SAMPAK International (Pvt.) Ltd.	639	Technically Dis-Qualified (Less than 70%.) PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)
5)	M/s SKAFS Consultancy (Pvt.) Ltd. in association with M/s Engineering Services (UET) Pakistan (Pvt.) Ltd. M/s INTECO International Engineering Consulting	Ineligible	Non-Responsive PPRA Rule 36(b) (v)

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share: The Procurement is being carried out in line with PPRA Rules & Regulations using the QCBS method with 80:20 Technical to Financial Proposals ratio.

Signature: Signature:	Highway Aug
Official Stamp:	vation*
**Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).	* P&CP (S)
	ON DIXO

Consultancy Services to Carry out Third Party Validation of Party Projects

# **National Highway Authority**



Annex-I

Criteria

FOR

## **Technical Evaluation**

Consultancy Services to Carry out Third Party Validation on NHA Projects

June, 2023

**Annex-I to Data Sheet** 

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPO	SALS	(FTP)	

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOS	Max.	Fire	ı l	Firm	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weightage	Rating	Score	Rating	Score
1. Firms Experience	100				
1-a. General Experience in road Transport Sector	25				
1-b. Specific Experience related to particular Assignment	75				<u> </u>
	250				
2. Approach and Methodology	70				
2-a. <u>Appreciation of the Project</u> (i) Evidence of Site Visit with Photographs giving a clear understanding/ proof of the project site	(30)				
	(20)				
(ii) Clarity of appreciation (iii) Comprehensiveness of appreciation	(20)				
2-b. Problem Statement/ understanding of objectives	50			·	
2-b. Problem Statement understanding of objectives  (i) Identification of Problems/ Objectives	(30)				Γ
	(20)				
(ii) Components of Proposed Services	80				Γ.
2-c. Methodology (i) Proposed Solutions for this Project	(30)				
	(20)				
(ii) Quality of Methodology (iii) Conciseness, clarity and completeness of proposal	(30)				
2-d. Suggested Changes for Improvement in TOR	10				
2-e. Work Program	20				
2-e. Work Program  2-f. Staffing Schedule	20				
	450				
3. Key Personnel   Firm affidavit for presence of personnel	25		1 1	;	
Firm amdayn for presence of personner					
i. Team Leader / Contract Specialist (Local)	90	-		· .	
	80				
ii. Highway/Pavement Expert (Local) iii. Financial Expert (Local)	70				
	90				<u> </u>
	60		<u> </u>		1
v. Material Expert (Local) vi. Quantity Surveyor (Local)	35				
4. Performance Certification from clients	75				
Affidavit on stamp paper duly attested by the Oath Commissioner regarding non-blacklisting	25				
Allicavit on stamp paper duty attended by the Oath Commissioner regularity of outside the	50				
5. Present Commitments (current engagement and available strength – justification)	50				7.5
6. Transfer of Knowledge (Methodology/ Plans)	: 1000		<del> </del>	<del></del>	

Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89% Average - 70-79% Below Average - 1-69% Non-complying - 0% Score: Maximum Weightage x rating / 100. Minimum qualifying score is 70% or 700 marks.

PERSONNEL EVALUATION SHEET

		I DINDOM	VILLEY VILLY				0.35 ( ) 4 4 4 5	OVERALL
POSITION / AREA OF EXPERTISE	Name	Academic and General Qualification* Weightage 30%		Project related Experience Weightage 65%		Status with the Firm** 05%		RATING (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show all experts to be evaluated)		Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	Percentage Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	(A+B+C)
Key-Personnel								
a) Team Leader / Contract Specialist (Local)								
b) Highway/Pavement Expert (Local)								
c) Financial Expert (Local)								
d) Structure/Bridge Expert (Local)							·	
e) Material Expert (Local)					<u> </u>			
f) Quantity Surveyor (Local)		ļ						

Rating: - Excellent - 100%

Very good - 90-99% Above Average - 80-89%

Average - 70-79%

Below Average - 1-69%

Non-complying - 0%

Score: Maximum Weightage X rating / 100.

- For Qualification of above Key Personnel, refer to Terms of Reference (ToR).
- 6 month's older employee 100%;
  The key professional staff whose duration with the firm is less than six (06) months/ available for the assignment/ associates/ part time available for the assignment in such case status with the firm's marks shall not be allocated.

