PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA) CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA – I

To Be Filled And Uploaded On PPRA Website In Respect Of All Public Contracts Of Works, Services & Goods Worth Rs 50 Million Or More

	1.	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT	:	Directorate General Procurement (ARMY) GHQ, Rawalpindi
	2.	FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT	:	Federal Government
	3.	TITLE OF CONTRACT	•	Oxygen Cylinder with Complete Mask (Disposable Gas Cylinder)
	4.	TENDER NUMBER	:	16-0393-00/DP-1/P-16 dated 24 Sep 2013
1	5. 6.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT TENDER/CONTRACT VALUE		Qty-1499 Each US\$ 633,701.05 (Rs 61,975,962.69) (Rs 61.976 Mn)
	7.	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (FOR CIVIL' WORKS ONLY)	:	Not Applicable
	8.	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD	-:	12 TO 15 Months from the date of opening of LC
	9.	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?		Yes
	10.	ADVERTISEMENT:-		
		a. PPRA WEBSITE (Federal Agencies)	:	30 Sep 2013
		b. NEWS PAPERS	•	29 Sep 2013 The Nation & Nawa-e-Waqat
	11.	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	:	Tech Offer was opened on 24 Mar 14 at 1100 Hrs and Commercial offer was opened on 25 Apr 14
	12.	NATURE OF PURCHASE (LOCAL/INTERNATIONAL)	:	FOB

RESTD

No

13. EXTENSION IN DUE DATE (IF ANY)

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY (PPRA) CONTRACT AWARD AND PROFORMA – II

To Be Filled And Uploaded On PPRA Website In Respect Of All Public Contracts of Works, Services & Goods Worth Rs 50 Million Or More

1. NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT : 01 Firm AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

2. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE : M/s Technoworld Eqpt Ltd, Switzerland SUCCESSFUL BIDDER

3. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL : M/s Technoworld Eqpt Ltd, BIDDER EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1ST, 2ND, 3RD EVALUATED BID) Single quotee

4. NEED ANALYSIS (Why the Procurement was Necessary?)

5. IN CASE EXTENSION WAS MADE IN : Not Applicable RESPONSE TIME, WHAT WERE THE REASONS (BRIEFLY

6. WHETHER NAMES OF THE : Yes BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF

7. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING : 17 June 2014
8. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE : US\$ 350.75

9. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION : Not Applicable REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS

10. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED : No

11. ANY DEVIATION FROM : No SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE

TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS

12. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION : No CRITERIA

13. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY : NIL

(Give Brief Description)

DESCRIBE)

OPENING OF BIDS

RESTD

RESTD

14.	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 7 x Firms a. M/s Technoworld Eqpt Ltd, Switzerland b. M/s Askari Enterprises Ltd, Rwp c. M/s Famikh (DSE), Rwp d. M/s Linde Pakistan Ltd, Rwp e. M/sTest International, Rwp f. M/s PBI, Karachi g. M/s Dubai Intl Ltd, Multan
15.	WHETHER QUALIFICATION : Yes CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT
16.	WHETHER BID EVALUTION : Yes CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS
17	WHICH METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS USED :- (Tick One)
	a. SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPED PROCEDURE -
	b. SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c. TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d. TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE -
	- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED WITH BRIEF REASONS (i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, NEGOTIATED TENDERING ETC)
18.	WHO IS THE APPROVING AUTHORITY : CLS
19.	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING Not applicable
20.	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED : 02
21.	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS Single quotee LOWEST BIDDER
22.	WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED : Yes